

Dear editors and reviews,

We thank you for your comments and suggestions to improve our manuscript.

In the revised article, we had made corrections and add data. We hope our article will be accepted and be published. Thank you again for everything

Responses for the reviewers' suggestion are as follow.

Reviewer's code: 00183086

Reviewer's country: Greece

Comments: This is an interesting article with regard to potential relationship between cachexia and perineural invasion in pancreatic cancer. Nevertheless, several issues have been raised and major revisions are implemented.

1. The structure of the Introduction should be revised. The aim of the study is not clear.

Answer: We would thank the suggestions from the reviewer. We have revised the structure of the Introduction and the aim of the study in the revised version

2. Additional epidemiological data should be included.

Answer: We have included additional epidemiological data in the revised version.

3. Technical details with regard to histological analysis should be reduced in size.

Answer: We have reduced in size technical details with regard to histological analysis in the revised version.

4. Statistical analysis should also be reduced in size.

Answer: We have reduced in size statistical analysis in the revised version.

5. In the Result section information included in Figures and Tables should be excluded.

Answer: We have excluded in the Result section information included in Figures and Tables in the revised version.

6. In the Discussion please add a paragraph with final conclusions at the end.

Answer: We have added a paragraph with final conclusions at the end in the revised version.

7. The size of the Tables should be reduced.

Answer: We have reduced in size the Tables in the revised version.

8. Grammatical errors should be corrected.

Answer: We have corrected grammatical errors in the revised version.