

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 48784

Title: The relationship between cachexia and perineural invasion in pancreatic cancer

Reviewer's code: 00183086

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Academic Research, Doctor, Lecturer, Surgeon

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Ying Dou

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-06-13 06:32

Reviewer performed review: 2019-06-14 07:05

Review time: 1 Day

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting article with regard to potential relationship between cachexia and perineural invasion in pancreatic cancer. Nevertheless, several issues have been raised



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

and major revisions are implemented. 1. The structure of the Introduction should be revised. The aim of the study is not clear. 2. Additional epidemiological data should be included. 3. Technical details with regard to histological analysis should be reduced in size. 4. Statistical analysis should also be reduced in size. 5. In the Result section information included in Figures and Tables should be excluded. 6. In the Discussion please add a paragraph with final conclusions at the end. 7. The size of the Tables should be reduced. 8. Grammatical errors should be corrected.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No