



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 48942

Title: Gastric adenocarcinoma mimicking submucosal tumor: A case report

Reviewer's code: 00505438

Reviewer's country: Australia

Science editor: Ying Dou

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-05-20 23:39

Reviewer performed review: 2019-05-20 23:53

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting case report. couple of issues 1. The authors do not tell us what biopsies were attempted at OGD: how many biopsies, were there attempts at ink well biopsies to take deeper tissue. 2. It is commented that EUS biopsies were not taken. With a lesion in submucosa, this seems a little strange unless EMR was intended regardless of outcome.



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

This should be clarified. 3. The lesion was seen at CT and EUS as well as OGD. Doesnt this case simply emphasis the importance of regarding EMR/ESD as simply being a large biospy in these types of cases which may be curative but will also give diagnostic certainty with moving onto formal resection should the criteria of T1b, margin involvement, poor differentiation, lymphovascular involvement etc 4. It would be useful for the authors to briefly also discuss linitis plastica. We are all well aware that there may be minimal mucosal changes in these cases with the vast majority of the tumour being submucosal. Microscopic mucosal invovlement may be missed but this may simply be a case of an early linitis plastica being identified.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 48942

Title: Gastric adenocarcinoma mimicking submucosal tumor: A case report

Reviewer's code: 02954782

Reviewer's country: South Korea

Science editor: Ying Dou

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-05-21 14:13

Reviewer performed review: 2019-05-25 10:29

Review time: 3 Days and 20 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript is well written about gastric adenocarcinoma mimicking submucosal tumor. The authors diagnosed well of stomach cancer by ESD for SMT and treated well the patient with stomach cancer by additional operation. I think this case report and review is interesting to readers. I have some comments as follows; 1.Please check Figure



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

2 image , is it magnifying endoscopy image? Then put the magnification size. 2.Please put the postoperative pathology microscopic image and legend. 3. I think the patient laboratory data is important in case reports. Please put some more data of the patient.

Thank you

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 48942

Title: Gastric adenocarcinoma mimicking submucosal tumor: A case report

Reviewer's code: 00068458

Reviewer's country: South Korea

Science editor: Ying Dou

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-05-21 00:25

Reviewer performed review: 2019-05-27 06:13

Review time: 6 Days and 5 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Cheng and Liu reported gastric adenocarcinoma mimicking submucosal tumor which is a relatively rare case and difficult to diagnose as the tumors are almost entirely covered with normal mucosa. 1. Did they find any submucosal heterotopic gastric gland or gastritis cystica profunda in this case? It will be interesting for readers to understand the



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

origin of this submucosal gastric cancer. 2. The authors need to rewrite the legend of Figure 4 and add H&E image of submucosal gastric cancer.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No