

Dear editor,

We appreciate you for evaluating our manuscript and giving the opportunity to submit a revised version. We carefully studied all the comments raised by the reviewers, and made our best effort to improve the manuscript. Changes to the manuscript have been marked in red and point-to-point answers to the reviewers' comments are listed as follows:

Reviewer #1:

The article is well written and gives a clear idea of the problem.

We thank this reviewer for his/her effort reading our manuscript and consider it as " well written " and "gives a clear idea of the problem". We made minor revision on figure legend of Fig2 and Fig3 to help the readers have a better understanding of the images.

Reviewer #2:

Authors describe a rare case of cardiomyopathy due to Duchenne muscular dystrophy in a 15-year-old boy. It is a very well presented case report which highlights the most common adverse effect of sacubitril/valsartan, especially in patients with NYHA IV heart failure status. I have not specific comments on the structure and the content of the manuscript.

We appreciate this reviewer for his/her effort evaluating our manuscript and consider it as an " very well presented case report". We made minor revision on figure legend of Fig2 and Fig3 to help the readers have a better understanding of the images.

Reviewer #3:

This is a rare case report on a heart failure patient with Duchenne muscular dystrophy suffering hypotension after taking sacubitril/valsartan. This manuscript is nicely structured and well written. I have no question about this manuscript.

We thank this reviewer for his/her comment of " nicely structured and well written ". We made minor revision on figure legend of Fig2 and Fig3 to help the readers have a better understanding of the images.

We appreciate for giving us this opportunity to re-submit a revised manuscript, and we are looking forward to your response in your early convenience.

Sincerely,

Han Chen