



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Reviewer1

This manuscript is very well written. The research is very interesting. The potential risk of focal nodular hyperplasia is extremely low. Hepatocellular adenoma is a rare liver tumor, which is prone to secondary hemorrhage and has a certain tendency to malignant transformation. The hardness of focal nodular hyperplasia was higher than hepatocellular adenoma, but the sample size was insufficient and needed further verification. In this study, the value of multi-parameter ultrasound index based on logistic regression for the differential diagnosis of hepatocellular adenoma and focal nodular hyperplasia was analyzed. The methods are clear, and in detail. The results are very interesting and well discussed. I have some minor comments. 1. The manuscript required an editing. Some minor language polishing should be revised. And some blanks are missing. Please check. 2. Figure 1 is too small, please update it. 3. In the table 3, there is a Chinese word, please check and revise. 4. The discussion is too long, please shorten it.

Response: Dear reviewer, thanks for your support. We have revised the manuscript and shorten the discussion part. Tables and figures are revised. Thanks.

Reviewer2

Very interesting study. I have no specific comments. Only the tables should be checked and revised.

Response: Dear reviewer, thanks for your suggestion. We have checked the tables and revised them.

Reviewer3

A very well written manuscript about the value of multi-parameter ultrasound index for



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

the differential diagnosis of hepatocellular adenoma and focal nodular hyperplasia. The study is designed well, and results are interesting. The titles is OK, and the methods are listed in detail. Results are well discussed, however, the discussion is too long. Too many references. Please shorten it.

Response: Dear reviewer, thanks for your comments. We have shorten the discussion.