



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 49867

Title: Pre-eclampsia with new-onset systemic lupus erythematosus during pregnancy: A case report and literature review

Reviewer’s code: 00894313

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer’s country: Greece

Author’s country: China

Reviewer chosen by: Na Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-08-22 10:17

Reviewer performed review: 2019-08-22 12:05

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

This is a very interesting case report on SLE in combination with severe preeclampsia-eclampsia. The case is indeed interesting and well presented, introduction and discussion are written appropriately giving clear messages. The main issue with this case is actually the clinical management. It seems that there was a big delay on proper diagnosis and treatment of the severe preeclampsia which in the end became eclampsia and fetus demise was the outcome. Patient should have been delivered from the 2nd day according to the results presented. On the third day when eclampsia occurred why was MGSO₄ not administered and why the patient didn't have an immediate delivery? Also it is not clear when and why all these time consuming blood tests on antibodies took place actually. Authors should also add some information regarding follow up of the patient before admission and in addition some details about ultrasound findings on the fetus, percentile of growth, Doppler studies, and amniotic fluid. What do the authors mean as close monitoring of the patient and fetus? Add please some more details which can be useful for future reference, as despite the efforts there was a bad perinatal outcome. English language is simple and easy to understand but some polishing is actually needed.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Duplicate publication

Plagiarism

No