

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 50668

Title: Diagnosis of gastric duplication cyst by positron emission tomography/computed tomography: A case report

Reviewer's code: 00058401

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: N/A

Professional title: Doctor, Emeritus Professor, Professor

Reviewer's country: Brazil

Author's country: China

Reviewer chosen by: Ying Dou

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-08-21 11:05

Reviewer performed review: 2019-08-22 16:55

Review time: 1 Day and 5 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Congratulations for yours effort.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 50668

Title: Diagnosis of gastric duplication cyst by positron emission tomography/computed tomography: A case report

Reviewer's code: 00039368

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: DA, PhD

Professional title: Academic Research

Reviewer's country: Estonia

Author's country: China

Reviewer chosen by: Ying Dou

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-08-22 13:03

Reviewer performed review: 2019-08-23 10:39

Review time: 21 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very well and in detail written case report concerning description of a rare case of gastric duplication cyst (GDC) diagnosed in 53-year-old female by positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) and endoscopic ultrasonography guided fine needle aspiration (EUS-FNA). The authors described very well the history of a patient, the clinical, physical, laboratory examinations as well as endoscopic examinations. The authors used computed tomography and endoscopic ultrasonography guided fine needle aspiration for diagnosis of GDC. The Discussion is written well and gives us a good overview about the importance of discovery of such a case in clinical practice. The case report is accompanied with 5 Figures. This case report makes the contribution for practical gastroenterologist and oncologist and underline the importance of combination of PET/CT and EUS-FNA in preoperative diagnosis of gastric duplication cyst. However, some points need to be considered: 1. Description of the immunohistochemical markers and its abbreviations should be more precise. It is not fully clear which markers have been used in immunohistochemical staining of surgical specimens. 2. The description of Figures should be more detailed.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☒ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

[] Plagiarism

[Y] No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 50668

Title: Diagnosis of gastric duplication cyst by positron emission tomography/computed tomography: A case report

Reviewer's code: 01467363

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Full Professor

Reviewer's country: Slovenia

Author's country: China

Reviewer chosen by: Ying Dou

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-08-22 16:57

Reviewer performed review: 2019-08-25 11:44

Review time: 2 Days and 18 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Title: accurately reflects the topic and contents of the paper. Abstract: 162 words, structured, appropriate. Key words: 6 key words, precisely define the content of the paper. Core tip: 76 words, is appropriate. Introduction: 156 words, the reader is “modestly” acquainted with some facts about gastric duplication cysts (GDCs), diagnostic procedures and definitive confirmation of the etiology. Case presentation: 459 words, the patient's presentation includes clinical problem, physical examination, results of various diagnostic/imaging procedures (included are 5 figures: upper endoscopy, EUS, EUS-FNA, PET/CT and histopathology, surgical procedure (laparoscopic resection with partial wedge resection of the stomach), final pathologic confirmation and patient follow-up. Discussion: 488 words, in the discussion the authors explain gastric duplication cyst representing rare gastrointestinal congenital abnormalities and diagnostic procedure in which EUS has been a key imaging method in the past, especially if it was supplemented by EUS-FNA and EUS-FN biopsy. They emphasize the use of PET/CT using FDG, a glucose analogue, which can detect hypermetabolic neoplastic cells and be helpful to resolve the discrepancies from different diagnostic/imaging methods. The authors correctly emphasize also the fact that definitive diagnosis in this rare condition is often achieved during pathologic and/or microscopic examination after surgical resection or endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD). Conclusion: 111 words, the authors emphasize the complexity of the definitive diagnosis and the most appropriate treatment of GDCs. They share the opinion that 18F-FDG-PET/CT may complement EUS-FNA with additional imaging data in the diagnosis of this condition. References: 7, contemporaray references, J Clin Pathol 2004 – Cytopathology 2019. Conflict of interest: no conflict of interest declared. Informed consent statement: Informed written consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this report and accompanying images. Opinion of the reviewer The manuscript is interesting, well illustrated, but the language of the contibution should be



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

improved.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No