

Dear professor Wang and Reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled *Diffuse large B cell lymphoma with bilateral adrenal and hypothalamic involvement: A case report*. These comments are constructive and valuable for revising our paper. We have studied the comments carefully and have made correction, which we hope to meet with approval. Our responses to the reviewers' comments are listed below:

**Reviewer 1:**

1-Case must be presented more fluently. Clinical discussions and treatment steps must be excluded and laboratory tests must be presented clearly 2-Treatment of the patient has not been presented and treatment detail must be written clearly 3-All the tables and figures must be mentioned at the text 4-Patient has multiple extranodal involvements and has high risk for CNS relapse. This point must be discussed and CNS-IPI must be calculated and presented 5-Discussion must be more clear and detailed 6-English must be reviewed and corrected

**Reply:** 1. We have altered the case to be more fluent and delete the clinical discussion and treatment steps from the case presentation according to your advice. We are sorry for not showing laboratory data clearly and now we present them through Table 1, Table 2, Table 3 and the corresponding descriptions in the laboratory examinations part. 2. We are very sorry for the negligence of presenting the treatment details. We have supplemented the details of the treatment which include the drugs, dosage, and course both for lymphoma and replacement therapy of pituitary function. 3. We have introduced Table 4 in the OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP parts based on your suggestions. 4. The recurrence risk of CNS lymphoma is important as you mentioned thus we have calculated the CNS-IPI in the OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP part. We also have some discussion on relapse risk in our revised version. 5. We have made efforts to make the discussion part more clearly by adding diagnose and prognosis information. 6. A native English speaker helped assist to edit and polish our language performance.

**Reviewer 2:**

#1 The abstract is too lengthy. Thus, the authors should shorten it within 250 words.

#2 The authors had better provide figures of biopsy of lymph nodes.

**Reply:** 1. We have shorten the abstract within 250 words as you suggested.2.We have presented figures of biopsy of lymph nodes in our paper and have elaborated the figure in the FURTHER DIAGNOSTIC WORK-UP part.

We hope that our revised version will be satisfactory for publication. Great thanks to you and the reviewers for the time and effort you spend on this paper.

With kindest regards,

Yours sincerely

Ping An.