

Dear editor,

We would like to thank the reviewer for careful and thorough reading of this manuscript and for the thoughtful comments and constructive suggestions, which help to improve the quality of this manuscript. Our response follows (the reviewer's comments are in italics).

Reviewer #1: The manuscript is a basic original study regarding to the diagnosis of pancreatic cancer using lncRNA as a biomarker. Diagnosis of pancreatic cancer was not difficult ,but early diagnosis was absolutely not easy. The authors did not made a concise and conclusive conclusion. Through It meet the acceptance priority, Whether it have early diagnosis ability need further clinical observation. Further research of inhibiting HULC may be the way to develop new effective medical drug for treatment of pancreatic cancer. Major: 1.the author could not make a concise conclusion for their results in the conclusion of the abstract as well as the paragraph of discussion. Please, revise these two parts.

*Response: As suggested by the reviewer, we have changed the parts which results in the conclusion of the abstract as well as the paragraph of discussion.*

Reviewer #2: Important research, well done article. However, it has to improve the English language used and add PMID and ROI references to the bibliography. Several unclear English structures are marked with yellow in the text sent.

*Response: As suggested by the reviewer, we have thoroughly polished the language and replaced the references style.*

We thank the reviewers for their thoughtful and supportive comments one more again. If you have any queries, please don't hesitate to contact me at the address below.

Yours sincerely,

Yebin Lu