

## List of Responses

Dear Editor and reviewer/s,

Thank you very much for your letter and all the comments concerning our manuscript entitled "**Lymphoepithelioma-like carcinoma of the upper urinary tract: A systematic review of case reports**" (Manuscript NO: 51912). Based on your comments and the reviewer's suggestions, we have carefully revised the manuscript. We are now resubmitting the revised article for your re-consideration to publish in *World Journal of Clinical Cases*. Please see point to point responses to all your comments below, and the corresponding revisions in the body of manuscript are marked in yellow. We look forward to hearing from you soon and remain hopeful for a favorable decision. Thank you again for your time and consideration.

### Responds to the reviewer's comments:

**Comments:** This study confirms the rarity of the disease and calls our attention to the possibility of diagnosis in the face of symptoms (especially hematuria). The number of described cases is still small but the study guides the propedeutica and treatments with better results. More people need to publish so we can reach higher evidence levels with lower risk of bias.

More detailed evaluation comments are listing as follows:

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the topic of the manuscript:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**Response:** Dear professor, thank you for your kind suggestions. We do encourage clinicians to actively communicate findings and publish articles regarding upper urinary tract Lymphoepithelioma-like carcinoma (UUT-LELC) in the future. UUT-LELC cases are rare but there is still an opportunity to save, and prolong life, by sharing knowledge, enhancing the depth and breadth of data recording and reporting and discussing clinical experiences.

With respect to the language polishing, this article has now been adjusted by a native English

speaker who specializes in systematic reviewing and meta-analytical techniques. Based on your feedback, we have carefully revised the entire manuscript, but especially added an article highlights section, in accordance with the requirements. The corresponding revisions in the body of manuscript are marked in yellow for your ease.

We tried our best to improve the manuscript and made additional changes in the manuscript. These changes do not influence the content or framework of the paper. We appreciate all feedback and hope corrections are met with approval but if you feel the article still requires further revision, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely yours,

Guan Zhang

Email: [gzhang2016@sina.com](mailto:gzhang2016@sina.com)