



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 51919

Title: Sarcomatoid intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma mimicking liver abscess: report of two cases and review of the literature

Reviewer's code: 01220036

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: DNB, MD

Professional title: Attending Doctor

Reviewer's country: United States

Author's country: China

Reviewer chosen by: Artificial Intelligence Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-10-10 16:48

Reviewer performed review: 2019-10-10 16:49

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

discussion is poorly written

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 51919

Title: Sarcomatoid intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma mimicking liver abscess: report of two cases and review of the literature

Reviewer's code: 03563654

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's country: United States

Author's country: China

Reviewer chosen by: Artificial Intelligence Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-10-22 11:34

Reviewer performed review: 2019-10-22 11:38

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

well written manuscript. i have few suggestions. 1- how is the follow up of patients? 2- "cholangiosarcom can have high comorbidities"(<https://doi.org/10.1111/tbj.13174>) AND (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijssu.2018.04.037>) I suggest both of these uptodate studies for the references

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 51919

Title: Sarcomatoid intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma mimicking liver abscess: report of two cases and review of the literature

Reviewer's code: 00069105

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACS, FRCS (Gen Surg), MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's country: Spain

Author's country: China

Reviewer chosen by: Le Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-10-22 15:49

Reviewer performed review: 2019-10-22 17:03

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors: The cases are very interesting but I have some concerns. I think that perhaps could be better to write cases separately because is very different to read the data of both cases together. I think that there is a cronological phase that is not complete. from radiology to pathology is surgery but no information about technical aspects, surical findings, type of hepatectomy, morbidity and so on. No information about adjuvant therapy The data of previous cases should be like a PRISMA study, databases, years, languages, restrictions, MesH, ... Possibly the search is perfect but is mandatory to include this information. PD: I think that as you said liver abscess was a very low diagnostic possibility, satellitosis, no fever, CRP normal, ... So all the comments about diagnosis as liver abscess should be erased

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 51919

Title: Sarcomatoid intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma mimicking liver abscess: report of two cases and review of the literature

Reviewer's code: 00189260

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's country: Czech Republic

Author's country: China

Reviewer chosen by: Le Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-10-23 15:24

Reviewer performed review: 2019-10-23 15:26

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting, unique case report

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 51919

Title: Sarcomatoid intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma mimicking liver abscess: report of two cases and review of the literature

Reviewer's code: 03271124

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FRCS (Gen Surg), MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's country: Thailand

Author's country: China

Reviewer chosen by: Le Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-10-22 12:50

Reviewer performed review: 2019-11-08 08:55

Review time: 16 Days and 20 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments, 1. The part of patient data was not well written. The part of the case presentation should be re-write. The author should described the patient data in the better way as the following, - Case 1: • History • Physical examination • Laboratory data • Imaging • management - Case 2: 2. The laboratory data is not sufficient. What about the CBC? WBC? 3. Did you test the serum procalcitonin? If so, how about the procalcitonin value? Currently, procalcitonin would be the good predictor for infection. 4. What is the rationale for the liver biopsy of the first patient? 5. I think the Figure 3 would be MRI. The author should carefully review this point. 6. The most likely diagnosis from the MRI findings from the second patient would be cholangiocarcinoma rather than liver abscess. The T2 phase, the lesion is not clearly bright. The second case should not be the mimicking lesion. The author should be re-review with radiologists. 7. Did the patients perform the distant metastasis work up? CT chest or PET CT? 8. The patients underwent hepatectomy. The author should describe more data of the operation. Intraoperative findings? Anatomical or anatomical resection? Lymphadenectomy? 9. How about the short term outcomes? Complications? 10. The table 1 was not clearly data from previously report case. The format of the data is not easy for reader to understand. The summary table should re-write. The author should show the data from each previous report cases. 11. Due to the dismal prognosis of this kind of cancer. What is your recommendation if the patient was preoperatively diagnosis as SICC? 12. What is the new findings from this report?

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

[Y] No

BPG Search:

[] The same title

[] Duplicate publication

[] Plagiarism

[Y] No