



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 52002

**Title:** A large pelvic mass arising from the cervical stump: a case report and review of the literature

**Reviewer’s code:** 02411089

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Professor, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist

**Reviewer’s country:** Turkey

**Author’s country:** China

**Reviewer chosen by:** Le Zhang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-10-18 12:28

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-10-18 12:29

**Review time:** 1 Hour

| SCIENTIFIC QUALITY                                     | LANGUAGE QUALITY  | CONCLUSION                                 | PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS                      |
|--|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing       | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept            | Peer-Review:                                  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language | (High priority)                            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good                 | polishing   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept | <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of           | (General priority)                         | Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not               | language polishing  | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision    | topic of the manuscript:                      |
| publish  | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision    | <input type="checkbox"/> Advanced             |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General   |
|  |   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> No expertise         |
|  |   |  | Conflicts-of-Interest:                        |
|  |   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes                  |
|  |   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No        |

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

Accept

**INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

***Google Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

***BPG Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 52002

**Title:** A large pelvic mass arising from the cervical stump: a case report and review of the literature

**Reviewer's code:** 00054672

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** FEBG, MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's country:** Croatia

**Author's country:** China

**Reviewer chosen by:** Artificial Intelligence Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-10-13 14:55

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-10-26 20:31

**Review time:** 13 Days and 5 Hours

| SCIENTIFIC QUALITY                                | LANGUAGE QUALITY  | CONCLUSION   | PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS                      |
|---|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing       | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                    | Peer-Review:                                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language | (High priority)                                    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | polishing   | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of           | (General priority)                                 | Peer-reviewer's expertise on the              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not          | language polishing  | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision            | topic of the manuscript:                      |
| publish   | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection                 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision | <input type="checkbox"/> Advanced             |
|   |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General   |
|   |   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> No expertise         |
|   |   |  | Conflicts-of-Interest:                        |
|   |   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes                  |
|   |   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No        |

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

Thank you for the opportunity to review this manuscript. The authors presented an interesting case of a rare large cervical cyst with a high squamous intraepithelial lesion arising from the cervical stump. Case report is interesting and well-written, However, there are some parts that require explanation; In the section of Physical examination upon admission: "The texture of the mass was slightly hard with poor activity" - please explain in the text In the section Laboratory examinations: Please note that, abbreviation should not be used if not mentioned previously, for example CA125, HE4, T, HPV53... Values and units should be separated by space for example: 2.3ug/L In the section Outcome and follow-up: The authors should explain the meaning of .."SCCA level 0.3 ug/L" to the reader - is it normal, abnormal - please state the reference values. Please explain the future tens in the second sentence of the paragraph " will include...." or rewrite the sentence. The final follow-up was January 1, 2019 - it is unnecessary to state the exact date - the follow-up should be given in months, days... The major concern of the manuscript is the discussion, which is unfocused, presented in a form of a literature review comparing two kinds of hysterectomies in the context of cervical stump diseases. The discussion, as it is written could be used for another separate manuscript, if the authors wish to make the review on cervical stump diseases. I would suggest to the authors to rewrite the discussion and direct it towards the specific problems and issues relating to their case. Please omit the sentence about the first case in your department - it is irrelevant to the rest of the world.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- [ ] The same title
- [ ] Duplicate publication
- [ ] Plagiarism



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

[ Y ] No

***BPG Search:***

[ ] The same title

[ ] Duplicate publication

[ ] Plagiarism

[ Y ] No