



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 52083

Title: Hyalinizing clear cell carcinoma: A rare entity in the oral cavity, a case report

Reviewer's code: 01219902

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree:

Professional title:

Reviewer's country: Japan

Author's country: Mexico

Reviewer chosen by: Artificial Intelligence Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-10-16 03:27

Reviewer performed review: 2019-10-23 02:11

Review time: 6 Days and 22 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors represent a case of hyalinizing clear cell carcinoma in the oral cavity. This is



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

a well-written paper with a good command of English. I have a few comments, though, which I list up in the next section. #Please cite each figure with appropriate sentences in the manuscript (ex. To cite only Figure 1 is not enough. Please cite Figure 1A and Figure 1B in the manuscript. This also applies to other figures). #PATHOLOGICAL FINDINGS -line 5, 'This histological pattern...evaluated': What does this sentence mean? In my opinion, this sentence is redundant. -Please describe the presence or absence of salivary gland tissues in the background. With this original description, no one knows whether this is really a salivary gland tumor or not. -Please indicate clearly the MIB-1 index (which is, according to the discussion, <5%) -Clear cells of this carcinoma are 'clear cells' because of glycogen, right? If so, to show PAS-diastrase alone is not enough. To show PAS-positivity is necessary. Please show PAS in parallel with PAS-diastrase with the same magnification in figure 3. And one more: No descriptions about the result of PAS and PAS-diastrase, which needs to be described in the manuscript. #Table 1: Please insert other columns and show 'treatment' and 'prognosis'. #The English of this paper is considerably good, but I found some questionable points throughout the manuscript. The following lists some of them I found when proof-reading it. Please proof-read the manuscript again and make it flawless from the standpoint of grammar as well. ABSTRACT -Background>line 1: a uncommon tumor>>>an uncommon tumor -Case summary>line 3: the molars, the tumor>>> the molars; the tumor -Case summary>line 4: was composed for clear cells>>> was composed of clear cells -etc, etc, etc...

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Plagiarism

No

BPG Search:

The same title

Duplicate publication

Plagiarism

No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 52083

Title: Hyalinizing clear cell carcinoma: A rare entity in the oral cavity, a case report

Reviewer's code: 02860895

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: DSc, MD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Professor

Reviewer's country: Japan

Author's country: Mexico

Reviewer chosen by: Artificial Intelligence Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-10-15 23:33

Reviewer performed review: 2019-10-25 15:22

Review time: 9 Days and 15 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an important case report of a rare malignant tumor, hyalinizing clear cell



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

carcinoma. The present case is consistent with descriptions of established textbooks, and seems rather typical. In other words, the report contains no special point. The authors should have further highlighted this case's unique findings or episodes. If there is no such special point, this article may be unsuitable to be published. In addition, many careless mistakes in the manuscript evoke considerable concerns.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No