

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**Manuscript NO:** 52111

**Title:** Endoscopic Ultrasound Guided Liver Biopsy: Recent Evidence.

**Reviewer's code:** 03260651

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's country:** Iran

**Author's country:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2019-10-25

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-10-25 14:13

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-11-01 15:42

**Review time:** 7 Days and 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

This is interesting review about different types of liver biopsy especially endoscopic ultarsound guided. But one of main limitation of endoscopic ultrasound is limited availability in pediatric field. Please add some sentences about pediatric field and complication of liver biopsy in children.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**Manuscript NO:** 52111

**Title:** Endoscopic Ultrasound Guided Liver Biopsy: Recent Evidence.

**Reviewer's code:** 02505493

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** DPhil

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's country:** Greece

**Author's country:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2019-10-25

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ping Yan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-10-29 04:22

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-11-03 18:45

**Review time:** 5 Days and 14 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review article aims to provide an update on the emerging evidence, indications, technique, advantages, and complications. This will ultimately help physicians determine the most appropriate method of liver biopsy. The article firstly describes the indications for liver biopsy, followed by brief description of the methods used with the advantages/disadvantages and complications of each one. More emphasis is given to recent methods and comparison thereof proceeded, as well as their applicability. Advantages and disadvantages of the various liver biopsy methods are also summarized in Table 1 and a comprehensive review of studies on EUS-LB is presented in Table 3. Moreover, figures indicating the EUS guided liver biopsy and histology of liver biopsy from the EUS approach are included. The manuscript is well written and provides substantial knowledge for scientific and educational purposes. It can be accepted for publication after some corrections, as follows: 1. Although it is written in the text (Page 17, line 8 from end) that “Figures 2-5 demonstrate.....”, there are no figures numbered 3-5. Correct appropriately. 2. Page 17, last line: Delete “of the”.

## **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☒ No

### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

[ Y ] No