Reviewer1:

It is a rarely reported condition, where authors have made a honest attempt to portray the case. The article needs some revision and correction spellings (eg; splenunculus, Non-nodular in table 1),. there are many short statemnts, can be better with long sentences (attend to grammer). There are few contradictory statements, for eg. in the case summary, a dignosis of suspicious low grade HS, where as in rest of the artcile, it is said categorcally as low grade HS. It is evident that, this case the dignosis was equivocal!! This needs attention and correction of statements in various paragrpahs till conclusion. Follow up is onloy 1 month, the distant disease, may be in temporary remission!!

Answer to Reviewer 1:
Thank you very much for your comments. We have corrected those grammatical errors. The diagnosis of this case was difficult but was accurate after two consultations. We added recent follow-up information. She remained stable for 3 month after initial PET scan but had progressive bone marrow metastases at 5 month follow-up. No other distant metastases. She has been doing well clinically.

Reviewer 2:
1. The author described the diagnosis and treatment of a case of this rare disease, and to provide a review of literature. 2. By analyzing the basic characteristics of the case, as well as the relevant laboratory and image examinations, the team determined the diagnosis and treatment. Also the author provided the pathological and follow-up results. The logical thinking is clear. 3. This paper discussed the clinical problems of spleen histiocytic sarcoma from the epidemiology, diagnosis, differential diagnosis and the related treatment. It could provide clinical ideas for diagnosis and treatment of HS, which is of great clinical significance. 4. It has a few grammatical errors in the text, such as punctuation consistent with the location of the reference notation and the punctuation mark.

Answer to Reviewer 2:
Thank you very much for all your comments. We have corrected those grammatical errors.