

October 20, 2013

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format (file name: ESPS 5230 Edited.doc).

**Title:** Cultural aspects of caregiver burden in psychiatric disorders

**Author:** Subho Chakrabarti

**Name of Journal:** *World Journal of Psychiatry*

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5230

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of reviewers:

1 Format has been updated

2 Revision has been made according to the suggestions of the reviewers. These are detailed below.

3 References and typesetting were corrected.

We would be grateful if the revised manuscript is re-evaluated.

With regards,

Subho Chakrabarti

**ID (02445242)**

**ESPS 5230**

## **Cultural aspects of caregiver burden in psychiatric disorders**

### **REPLY TO REVIEWERS' COMMENTS**

(All changes have been highlighted in bold red font)

#### **REVIEWER 1 (02465274)**

No comments to authors.

#### **REVIEWER 2 (02731578)**

**According to culture anthropology, there exist two contrasting cultures- shame and guilt cultures. Author may also include this aspect as well.**

*The methodology section of the revised manuscript has been re-written. Mention has been made of the shame-guilt dimension in this revision.*

**Care giving in psychiatric settings also has been studied from a cultural aspects (Morrison EF. The culture of caregiving and aggression in psychiatric settings. Arch Psychiatr Nurs. 1998 12(1):21-31). I believe a review on this aspect might add more value to this paper.**

*This review has limited itself to family caregivers (informal or lay caregivers). The area of the effect of culture on formal caregiving in psychiatric settings, though important, is somewhat beyond the scope of this review.*

**The "methodological issues" section could be bettered. Heterogeneity in the tools to measure constructs like problem solving, appraisals etc., could have been addressed.**

*The methodology section of the revised manuscript has been re-written. Conceptual and assessment problems, including heterogeneity of tools, have been mentioned in this revision.*

### **Language certificate**

*Reviewer 1 has graded the language of the manuscript as Grade A. Reviewer 2 has graded the manuscript as Grade B, requiring minor language polishing. This has been done. Therefore, we believe that the language of your manuscript has reached Grade A. Thus we would choose not to have your manuscript edited by the above English language editing companies.*