



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**Manuscript NO:** 52470

**Title:** Evolution and current status of the subclassification of intermediate hepatocellular carcinoma

**Reviewer’s code:** 00069423

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer’s country:** United States

**Author’s country:** China

**Reviewer chosen by:** Artificial Intelligence Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-11-11 17:25

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-11-16 14:25

**Review time:** 4 Days and 20 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

The authors have made a thorough and comprehensive review on the status of classification of the intermediate HCC. They evaluated each classification and the criteria proposed by several authors and added their opinions as well. Thei authors' proposal of expanding the indication of radical treatments and addition of such treatments appear well justified. I commend their thorough and critical review.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**Manuscript NO:** 52470

**Title:** Evolution and current status of the subclassification of intermediate hepatocellular carcinoma

**Reviewer's code:** 00003629

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Emeritus Professor

**Reviewer's country:** Greece

**Author's country:** China

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ping Yan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-11-20 17:24

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-12-02 10:03

**Review time:** 11 Days and 16 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**



- A. General Comments:                    1. The article needs better critical assessment of the reviewed studies, including the authors opinion of the relative importance of them.
2. Typing and grammatical errors in the text need correction.    B. Major Comments:
1. (Front page): A list of abbreviations and their explanation is needed.                    2. (Front page, line 8-9 from top): The names of Wu B, Yan S, and Lan C do not appear among the authors. Please explain.                    3. (Pages 5 & 6, Table II): When referring to objective survival the median time of observation should be mentioned, if available in the respective article(s).                    4. (Page 6, Table II): Why both "LR" and "OLT" for liver transplantation? Please correct.                    5. (Page 8, Table IV): For "c-TACE, B-TACE, DEB-TACE, HAIC, BSC, C-P", explanations must be added in the footnote of the table.    C. Minor Comment:                    1. (Page 2, line 11 from top): Instead of "patients with early stage HCC", please write: "patients with very early and initial stage HCC within the Milan criteria and without associated diseases".

## **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

### ***Google Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

### ***BPG Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No