

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

Manuscript NO: 52531

Title: Chronic airspace disease: Review of the causes and key computed tomography findings

Reviewer's code: 02441737

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Senior Scientist, Professor

Reviewer's country: Mexico

Author's country: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2019-11-06

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-11-21 17:39

Reviewer performed review: 2019-11-21 19:32

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments to the manuscript: Chronic Airspace Disease; Review of the Causes and Key Computed Tomography Findings. The aim of this manuscript is to review the causes of chronic airspace disease, and discuss the clinical, laboratory and radiological findings that can help radiologists and clinicians to narrow down the differential diagnosis. 1 Title. The title reflects the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript. 2 Abstract. The abstract summarizes and reflect the work described in the manuscript. It is advisable (if possible) to record the prevalence or incidence of chronic airspace diseases 3 Background. The introduction is adequate and allows a proper understanding of the problem of study. It is recommended (if possible), that the authors cite some figures on the prevalence of the pathologies that originate radiological findings of chronic airspace disease. 5 Methods. It is recommended that the authors mention more details of the ethical aspects related to the present investigation. For example, if patients' permission was requested for their data to be used. It is recommended that the authors complete the details related to the following methodological aspects: Inclusion and exclusion criteria of the articles used to carry out the review. 6 Results. It is a clear and professionally written and presented article (I have no comments). 7 Illustrations and tables. The figures are very clear and adequately described in the figure feet. General comments. It is an article with an original idea, which deserves to be considered for publication.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

[Y] No

BPG Search:

[] The same title

[] Duplicate publication

[] Plagiarism

[Y] No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

Manuscript NO: 52531

Title: Chronic airspace disease: Review of the causes and key computed tomography findings

Reviewer's code: 02832130

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's country: China

Author's country: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2019-11-06

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-11-20 08:39

Reviewer performed review: 2019-11-25 15:22

Review time: 5 Days and 6 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The subject of this manuscript is of value, but there are defects need to be modified. 1. The structure of the article needs to be reconsidered. According to sub-title "Clinical, laboratory and imaging findings in chronic airspace disease", it is suggested to add a sub-title "Causes of chronic airspace disease" before "Inflammatory causes (table 1)". Or delete the subtitle "Clinical, laboratory and imaging findings in chronic airspace disease". 2, Should the author change the sub-title "Clinical information and patient background": to: "Clinical findings". It looks more coordinated or consistent.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No