

Responses to the reviewers

Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 52890

Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you for your reading and reviewing our manuscript entitled "Microscopic Removal of Type III Dens Invaginatus and Preparation of Apical Barrier with Mineral Trioxide Aggregate in a Maxillary Lateral Incisor: A 26-month Follow-up Case Report"(Manuscript NO:52890). We are truly grateful for your suggestions and comments. We have revised our manuscript again, all changes in the manuscript were highlighted in red. The main corrections in the paper and our responses to the reviewers are as follows:

Reviewer 1(Reviewer's code: 04209118): My comments: Major comments: -In case presentation- there are many repeat. So, text from: "Chief Complaint" till "Imaging Examinations" (including that text also) must be deleted. -manuscript is not written in accordance to Instructions to authors Minor comments: -in figure 1.- figure 1b should be on position 1a and renamed, and 1a should be on position 1b and renamed. In References part- there are many errors in writing references.

Thanks for your useful comments on our paper.

1. The repeat contents in case presentation we have already deleted.
2. Manuscript has revised consistent with the figure legends. The position and name of figure 1a and figure 1b has changed.
3. The errors of reference part we have revised according to the guidelines for manuscript preparation and submission of the journal *World Journal of Clinical Cases*.

Reviewer 2(Reviewer's code: 00723025): One suggestion is a photo put in the

outcome or treatment section demonstrating the apical surgery phase will be more explanatory.

Thank you for your suggestions. The process of MTA apical barrier surgery ,we have added the picture in the Fig 3.

Once again thank you very much for your consideration.We look forward to hearing from you regarding our submission.We would be glad to respond to any further questions and comments.

Best wishes,

Yours sincerely,