

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Meta-Analysis

**Manuscript NO:** 53255

**Title:** Single-balloon and spiral enteroscopy may have similar diagnostic and therapeutic yields with double-balloon enteroscopy: results from a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and prospective studies

**Reviewer's code:** 03727239

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Chief Doctor, Director

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Author's country:** China

**Reviewer chosen by:** Artificial Intelligence Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-12-13 00:40

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-12-15 02:01

**Review time:** 2 Days and 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This meta-analysis reported the efficacy of DBE, SBE, and SE for small bowel diseases. First, despite this meta-analysis is including Chinese articles and conference abstracts, the sample size is too small. comments 1. Even though the sample size is too small, the conference abstract should be excluded. 2. The analysis for “SBE vs. SE” should be deleted., if the sample size was too small for the analysis.

## **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Meta-Analysis

**Manuscript NO:** 53255

**Title:** Single-balloon and spiral enteroscopy may have similar diagnostic and therapeutic yields with double-balloon enteroscopy: results from a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and prospective studies

**Reviewer's code:** 00504435

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Author's country:** China

**Reviewer chosen by:** Le Zhang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-12-15 12:52

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-12-23 10:39

**Review time:** 7 Days and 21 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This manuscript performed a meta-analysis to compare clinical outcomes among double-balloon enteroscopy (DBE), single-balloon enteroscopy (SBE) and spiral enteroscopy (SE), in which only randomized controlled trials and prospective studies were eligible. This paper is interesting, but there are several issues to be addressed. 1. Peroral enteroscopy appears to provide a higher diagnostic and therapeutic yield than peranal enteroscopy. One of limitation of this study is that this meta-analysis did not separate antegrade and retrograde procedures. 2. Recently, motorized spiral enteroscopy was developed (VideoGIE. 2016 Aug 30;1(2):32-33). It would offer a faster and deeper approach to the small intestine, compared with conventional “manual” SE. It would be nice if the authors mention this new modality for evaluating the small intestine.

## **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No