

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**Manuscript NO:** 53298

**Title:** Feasibility of robotic assisted bladder sparing pelvic exenteration for locally advanced rectal cancer: A single institution case series

**Reviewer's code:** 03656564

**Position:** Associate Editor

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Chief Doctor, Professor

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Author's country:** Singapore

**Manuscript submission date:** 2019-12-20

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ping Yan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-12-23 09:31

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-12-28 10:00

**Review time:** 5 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

1. May be this is the first case series which reports totally robot-assisted bladder sparing pelvic exenteration? Relevant search proof materials are required. 2. Whether or not Figure 1 fully express the Port placement for robotic docking for the operation of Robotic Assisted Bladder Sparing Pelvic Exenteration? If it is only used for abdominal operation, what is the location of the poking hole in the pelvic operation? 3. In Figure 1, the effect of drawing is not good, so further fine drawing is needed. 4. In Figure 2, the best histologic specimen should include the tumor size and pathological picture. 5. In Table 1, no title and self explanatory notes. 6. In conclusion, the key points and difficulties of pelvic surgery with robot should be clearly informed?

## **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**Manuscript NO:** 53298

**Title:** Feasibility of robotic assisted bladder sparing pelvic exenteration for locally advanced rectal cancer: A single institution case series

**Reviewer's code:** 03268270

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Doctor, Postdoc, Professor, Surgeon, Teacher

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Author's country:** Singapore

**Manuscript submission date:** 2019-12-20

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-12-22 14:35

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-12-28 11:14

**Review time:** 5 Days and 20 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

1. Patient2 : He also underwent long course NA chemoradiotherapy before undergoing a robot-assisted Ultra-low Anterior Resection (ULAR) with J-pouch coloanal anastomosis and en-bloc prostatectomy. But Table 2 shows that the patient received neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy ? 2. In this paper, the author explores the feasibility of robot-assisted bladder-preserving pelvic clearance, which provides a good reference value for clinical application, and highlights the advantages of Leonardo da Vinci robot system in complex anatomy and difficult anastomosis in the pelvis. However, it is necessary to further increase the number of cases and to compare with open pelvic exenteration including operation time, blood loss, hospitalization time, OS et al?

## **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No