



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Cardiology

**Manuscript NO:** 53349

**Title:** Management of adults with coarctation of aorta.

**Reviewer's code:** 02511796

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** FRACP, FRCP (C), FRCPA, MBBS

**Professional title:** Doctor, Senior Lecturer

**Reviewer's country:** Australia

**Author's country:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2019-12-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jie Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-01-11 03:48

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-01-14 00:47

**Review time:** 2 Days and 20 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	topic of the manuscript:
<input type="checkbox"/> publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
			<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

Thank you for the submission. My specific comments are below: The authors have presented a review on the management of coarctation of the aorta that is informative and relevant. I do not have major critiques at this time. The images are excellent. I have made comments of the journal quality assurance check list. 1. Title. Does the title reflect the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript? yes 2 Abstract. Does the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript? yes 3 Key words. Do the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript? yes 4 Background. Does the manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study? yes 5. Discussion. 6 Illustrations and tables - excellent 7 Biostatistics. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of biostatistics? no 8. Units. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of use of SI units? 9 References. Does the manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections? Does the author self-cite, omit, incorrectly cite and/or over-cite references? yes 10. Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Is the manuscript well, concisely and coherently organized and presented? Is the style, language and grammar accurate and appropriate? yes 11. Research methods and reporting - fine 12. Ethics statements. pls check

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

##### ***BPG Search:***



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](https://www.wjgnet.com)

The same title

Duplicate publication

Plagiarism

No



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Cardiology

**Manuscript NO:** 53349

**Title:** Management of adults with coarctation of aorta.

**Reviewer's code:** 03830061

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's country:** Greece

**Author's country:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2019-12-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jie Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-01-04 07:29

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-01-17 09:21

**Review time:** 13 Days and 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	topic of the manuscript:
<input type="checkbox"/> publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
			<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

This is an very interesting and well-written literature review regarding the management of aortic coartation in adults. Excellent work, I congratulate the authors. Title. The title reflects the main subject of the manuscript. Abstract and Core tip. Both summarize and reflects the work described in the manuscript. Key words. The key words reflect the focus of the manuscript. Main manuscript Well-organized, easy to read and complete. Illustrations and tables. The figures and diagrams are sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents. Are permissions required? References. The manuscript cites appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections. Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. The manuscript is well, concisely and coherently organized and presented. The style, language and grammar are accurate and appropriate.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Cardiology

**Manuscript NO:** 53349

**Title:** Management of adults with coarctation of aorta.

**Reviewer's code:** 02439211

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Author's country:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2019-12-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jie Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-01-20 14:42

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-01-24 03:21

**Review time:** 3 Days and 12 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

Coarctation of the aorta (CoA) is a relatively common congenital cardiac defect often causing few symptoms and therefore can be challenging to diagnose. The author discuss the classification and morphology, diagnosis, treatment. However, there is not enough new knowledge about CoA treatment and diagnosis. I hope that authors could add new diagnosis. Although, the manuscript is not enough new, it can give us the knowledge of CoA.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No