

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 53399

Title: Diagnostic strategy and management of glandular papilloma of lung: a case report

Reviewer's code: 00608223

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: BM BCh, MD, MRCP, PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Reader (Associate Professor)

Reviewer's country: United Kingdom

Author's country: Taiwan

Manuscript submission date: 2019-12-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-12-19 10:24

Reviewer performed review: 2019-12-27 11:13

Review time: 8 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

This case report adds to the literature about a rare tumour type, and emphasises the benefits that can accrue from diagnosing a benign tumour and treating it - this patient had recurrent pneumonia presumably due to the tumour and poor sputum clearance, and this was managed by the surgical excision. The manuscript is well written with good quality English, and has cited appropriate literature as well. The limitations are mainly those inherent to a case report, and cannot be overcome in this manuscript for that reason.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No