

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 53528

**Title:** Use of a metallic ureteral stent to restore kidney function in patients with ureteral obstruction due to idiopathic retroperitoneal fibrosis: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 00071705

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor, Surgeon

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Turkey

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2019-12-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** Ying Dou

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-02-20 21:59

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-02-23 10:08

**Review time:** 2 Days and 12 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Introduction is informative and well-written. In the material and method section, there are some subjective and inappropriate comments. For example "If the patient does not take the ureteral lysis which is time-consuming and may damage the vital organs and blood vessels around the ureter, the placement of the ureteral stent can temporarily relieve the pressure on the kidney, protect the kidney function, and improve patients' quality of life. It is also a good solution. Moreover, general polymer stents were not able to provide good drainage completely because of the weak resistance to stress." This part should remove from this section. Case reports section is too long and there are many unnecessary and non-surgical details. They should trimmed and it should get shorter. There are many figures, in my opinion figure 1 is enough and figures 2 and 3 should remove from the manuscript. The paper can be published after these revisions.