

Supplemental Table 1. Comparison of the treatment outcomes between the EMR and ESD groups before propensity score matching

	All N = 142	EMR group n = 87	ESD group n = 55	<i>P</i> -value
Procedure time, min				
Median (IQR)	12 (4.25-76.75)	5.0 (3.5-10)	90.0 (67-134.5)	< 0.001
En bloc resection, n (%)	128 (90.1)	76 (87.4)	52 (94.5)	0.25
Complete resection, n (%)	108 (76.1)	62 (71.3)	46 (83.6)	0.11
Closure of mucosal defects, n (%)	126 (88.7)	76 (87.4)	50 (90.9)	0.60
Adverse events, n (%)	14 (9.9)	4 (4.6)	10 (18.2)	0.018
Intraoperative perforation, n (%)	7 (4.9)	0 (0)	7 (12.7)	0.61
Delayed perforation, n (%)	2 (1.4)	0 (0)	2 (3.6)	0.15
Delayed bleeding, n (%)	5 (3.5)	4 (4.6)	1 (1.8)	0.65
Emergency surgery, n (%)	1 (0.7)	0 (0)	1 (1.8)	0.39
Hospital stay, days				
Median (IQR)	8.5 (7-11)	7.0 (6-9)	11.0 (9-14)	< 0.001
Follow-up duration, months				
Median (IQR)	24.5 (15-53.75)	25 (16.5-52.5)	24 (14-53.5)	0.654
One-year follow-up, n (%)	115 (81.0)	71 (81.6)	44 (80.0)	0.829
Local recurrence, n (%)	3 (2.1)	3 (3.4)	0 (0)	0.283
Metastatic recurrence, n (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (9)	-

P-values were calculated using Fisher's exact test for categorical data and Mann-Whitney *U* test for continuous data.

EMR, endoscopic mucosal resection; ESD, endoscopic submucosal dissection; IQR, interquartile range