

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 53888

Title: Differences in parents of pediatric liver transplantation and chronic liver disease patients

Reviewer's code: 00077376

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Turkey

Manuscript submission date: 2019-12-31

Reviewer chosen by: Ruo-Yu Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-01-19 00:57

Reviewer performed review: 2020-01-25 03:06

Review time: 6 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This retrospective interview-based study was performed to assess the satisfaction of parents of pediatric liver transplantation (LT) patients and that of parents of pediatric chronic liver disease (CLD) patients, using two difference scales (PedsQL scale IFS). I have the following comments. (1) In LT group, do all pediatrics undergo deceased-donor liver transplantation? Otherwise, do anyone undergo living-donor LT form their parents? (2) In results, style of sentences such as “statistically significant differences were found between groups in terms of” is difficult for me to understand which group is significantly better. There are many same styles of sentences, and thus I had a hard time to understand the results. Furthermore, you use two scales; however, there is no explanation concerning the difference between the two scales. Doe high scales mean high quality?

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 53888

Title: Differences in parents of pediatric liver transplantation and chronic liver disease patients

Reviewer's code: 00077376

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Turkey

Manuscript submission date: 2019-12-31

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-04-20 09:15

Reviewer performed review: 2020-05-03 02:52

Review time: 12 Days and 17 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The revised manuscript has been corrected well.