

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 54021

**Title:** The choice and management of negative pressure drainage in anterior cervical surgery

**Reviewer's code:** 03563654

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor, Professor, Surgeon

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** United States

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-01-05

**Reviewer chosen by:** Ying Dou

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-03-25 07:34

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-03-25 07:35

**Review time:** 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	RE-REVIEW	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision		<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision		Peer-reviewer's expertise on the topic of the manuscript:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection		<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
				<input type="checkbox"/> General
				<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
				Conflicts-of-Interest:



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

☐ Yes

☐ No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

well written manuscript. i have few suggestions. 1- what is the statistical method?  
2-(DOI: 10.1111/iwj.13265 PMID: 31710171 ) and (doi: 10.5152/ejbh.2018.4132) for hte  
references I suggest both of these uptodate studies.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

☐ The same title

☐ Duplicate publication

☐ Plagiarism

☐ No

##### ***BPG Search:***

☐ The same title

☐ Duplicate publication

☐ Plagiarism

☐ No