

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 54113

**Title:** Missed diagnosis of femoral profound artery rupture after femoral shaft fracture:  
A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 03604107

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Albania

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-01-17

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-01-17 18:50

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-01-25 13:51

**Review time:** 7 Days and 19 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is a very interesting paper, in a very important issue. However, a thorough English language revision is requested. Here below I am listing sentences / fragments that sound awkward to me, and need re-writing possibly from a native-speaking English physician: "we offered A Hoffman II external fixation and later internal fixation failed to be performed according to the new diagnosis of esophageal cancer" "of ankle pulses[2]. Herein," "history is negative for inherent diseases related." "ankle pulse" .... suggestion: pulsations? "Thus the patient was definitely diagnosed as rhabdomyolysis. Hemodialysis treatment was not offered due to the normal condition of the patient's kidney."... Offered? Is this the right word? Otherwise: normal renal function.... "Seven days after the operation, the right thigh still swollen." "patient turns better." .... improves? "The Visual Analogue Score dropped from 8 to 4 and the swelling of the right thigh significantly reduced"... Pain VAS? "patient's right tibial nerve, common peroneal nerve, superficial peroneal nerve and sural nerve were null."... Null? Is this the right word (not registered....) "responsible for his self-care."... independent? "peripheral vascular pulses disappear was never mentioned in the above cases of rupture" (disappearance? Which above cases?) "limp, deep vein thrombosisrenal failure" "helped the patient free of amputation. And the patient finally back to walk on the third year" (Escaped amputation?... finally back to walk?? Or able to walk....) There are some numbers (presumably of references) within the section of Conclusion.... not mentioned in the refs' list. And anyway, starting from 1...2...3... (after more that dozen refs within the text above)