

PEER-REVIEW REPORT 1

1. The manuscript requires a minor language editing. Some minor spelling mistakes should be revised. 2. The abstract should be re-write according to the journal's guideline. 3. The serum collection and real-time PCR detection are very clear. Statistical methods are reasonable. 4. Discussion is good. No further comments.

Answer

Thanks for the reviewers comments. We have carefully checked the language and spelling, and revised the abstract section according to the journal guideline.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT 2

1. Abstract should be edited accordingly, BACKGROUND, AIM, METHODS, RESULTS, CONCLUSION. 2. In the introduction, some sentence should add the references, e.g. "Currently, the most common diagnostic criteria and classification criteria for T2DM in China are fasting plasma glucose level and 2-hour glucose level after a 75 g glucose load, which were recommend in guidelines from the WHO in 1999.". Please check and make a revision. 3. Manuscript requires a minor editing.

Answer

Thanks for the reviewers comments. We have carefully checked the language and spelling, and revised the abstract section according to the journal guideline. In addition, we have also added some references according to the reviewer' comments in the introduction section.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT 3

Interesting study of diagnostic model for the early detection of T2DM. The manuscript is well written. I suggest publishing this manuscript as it is. THANK YOU.

Answer

Thanks for the reviewers comments.