



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 54191

Title: Helmet-based noninvasive ventilation for acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A case report

Reviewer’s code: 02459390

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FRCP (Hon)

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Author’s Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2020-02-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-02-10 09:19

Reviewer performed review: 2020-02-11 16:00

Review time: 1 Day and 6 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	RE-REVIEW	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision		Conflicts-of-Interest:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection		<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

The authors present a case where helmet NIV was used successfully as a rescue therapy in a patient in whom oronasal mask NIV had been unsuccessful. There is a suggestion that the helmet interface allowed higher pressures and longer treatment periods to be tolerated which led to survival in a patient who would otherwise been highly likely to die (as not for invasive ventilation). This is probably of some merit and worthy of publication; however I think some revision of the manuscript is required to place greater emphasis on the beneficial; characteristics of the helmet over the facemask - as in it allowed the higher pressures to be used and for longer, which probably was the difference between success and failure of NIV. At the moment I do not feel that point is made clearly enough and the message is perhaps slightly;y lost or diluted as a result

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 54191

Title: Helmet-based noninvasive ventilation for acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A case report

Reviewer’s code: 02981504

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MA

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: China

Author’s Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2020-02-08

Reviewer chosen by: Ying Dou

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-02-26 11:37

Reviewer performed review: 2020-02-26 12:20

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	RE-REVIEW	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision		<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision		Conflicts-of-Interest:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

It's an interesting topic! Respiratory support techniques are often the only method of respiratory failure, the patient reported in the article was lucky. For patients who fail noninvasive ventilation and refuse to accept endotracheal intubation, the helmet-based NIV can be considered a salvage therapy. But, During Helmet -based NIV, How to protect the eye of unconscious patient? Is there a difference with NIV in terms of parameter adjustment? (including flow rate and pressure)?

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No