Reviewer #1:
Scientific Quality: Grade A (Excellent)
Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)
Conclusion: Accept (High priority)
Specific Comments to Authors: Dear Author(s), This is a well designated and organized paper. The overcrowding caused by unnecessary admission of the patients to the emergency departments around the world is still unresolved problem. This situation can cause not only anxiety but also misdiagnosis or delay in diagnosis of really urgent patients. It is very nice to draw attention to this situation. Cordially yours

Reply: Thank you for your comments.

(1) *Science Editor*: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a prospective study of the correlation between crowdedness in emergency departments and anxiety in Chinese patients. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC. (1) Classification: Grade A; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The authors reported the correlation between crowdedness in emergency departments and anxiety in Chinese patients, this was a well designated and organized paper; and (3) Format: There are 6 tables. A total of 30 references are cited, including 1 reference published in the last 3 years. There are 2 self-citations. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade A. The language was edited by one native English speaker. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the Biostatistics Review Certificate, the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement, CONSORT 2010 Statement, the Institutional Review Board Approval Form and Written informed consent. The Clinical Trial Registration Statement was waived. No academic misconduct was found in the CrossCheck detection and Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. The study was supported by 1 grant. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC. 5 Issues raised: (1) The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s); and (2) The "Article Highlights" section is missing. Please add the "Article Highlights" section at the end of the main text. 6 Re-Review: Not required. 7 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.
Reply: We added the approved grant application form and an Article Highlights section

(2) *Editorial Office Director*: I have checked the comments written by the science editor.

(3) *Company Editor-in-Chief*: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met

the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. However, the quality of the English language of the manuscript does not meet the requirements of the journal. Before final acceptance, the author(s) must provide the English Language Certificate issued by a professional English language editing company.

Reply: The manuscript has been edited by a native English speaking editor and a certificate has been added.