**Name of Journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Oncology*

**Manuscript NO:** 54280

**Manuscript Type:** ORIGINAL ARTICLE

***Observational Study***

**Mutational analysis of *Ras* hotspots in patients with urothelial carcinoma of the bladder**

Tripathi K *et al*. *Ras* mutations in UCB

Kiran Tripathi, Apul Goel, Atin Singhai, Minal Garg

**Kiran Tripathi, Minal Garg,** Department of Biochemistry, University of Lucknow, Lucknow 226007, India

**Apul Goel,** Department of Urology, King George Medical University, Lucknow 226003, India

**Atin Singhai,** Department of Pathology, King George Medical University, Lucknow 226003, India

**Author contributions:** Tripathi K contributed to the conception and design of the study; Tripathi K performed the experiments and participated in the acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of the data, and drafted the initial manuscript; Goel A and Singhai A revised the article critically for important intellectual content; Garg M contributed to the conception and design of the study and revised the article critically for important intellectual content; all the authors approved the final version of the article to be published.

**Supported by** Department of Science and Technology, Govt. of India, No. SR/SO/HS-0113/2010; University Grants Commission (UGC), Govt. of India; No. 22/12/2013(ii)EU-V.

**Corresponding author:** **Minal Garg, MSc, PhD, Assistant Professor, Doctor,** Department of Biochemistry, University of Lucknow, University Road, Lucknow 226007, India. minal14@yahoo.com

**Received:** January 20, 2020

**Revised:** May 21, 2020

**Accepted:** July 1, 2020

**Published online:** August 24, 2020

**Abstract**

BACKGROUND

Mutational activation of *Ras* genes is established as a prognostic factor for the genesis of a constitutively active RAS-mitogen activated protein kinase pathway that leads to cancer. Heterogeneity among the distribution of the most frequent mutations in *Ras* isoforms is reported in different patient populations with urothelial carcinoma of the bladder (UCB).

AIM

To determine the presence/absence of mutations in *Ras* isoforms in patients with UCB in order to predict disease outcome.

METHODS

This study was performed to determine the mutational spectrum at the hotspot regions of *H-Ras*, *K-Ras* and *N-Ras* genes by polymerase chain reaction - restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) and DNA sequencing followed by their clinical impact (if any) by examining the relationship of mutational spectrum with clinical histopathological variables in 87 UCB patients.

RESULTS

None of the 87 UCB patients showed point mutations in codon 12 of *H-Ras* gene; codon 61 of *N-Ras* gene and codons 12, 13 of *K-Ras* gene by PCR-RFLP. Direct DNA sequencing of tumor and normal control bladder mucosal specimens followed by Blastn alignment with the reference wild-type sequences failed to identify even one nucleotide difference in the coding exons 1 and 2 of *H-Ras*, *N-Ras* and *K-Ras* genes in the tumor and control bladder mucosal specimens.

CONCLUSION

Our findings on the lack of mutations in *H-Ras*, *K-Ras* and *N-Ras* genes could be explained on the basis of different etiological mechanisms involved in tumor development/progression, inherent genetic susceptibility, tissue specificity or alternative *Ras* dysfunction such as gene amplification and/or overexpression in a given cohort of patients.

**Key words:** Coding exons; Oncogenic activation; Polymerase chain reaction - restriction fragment length polymorphism; Point mutations; *Ras* genes; Urothelial carcinoma of bladder

**Citation:** Tripathi K, Goel A, Singhai A, Garg M. Mutational analysis of *Ras* hotspots in patients with urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. *World J Clin Oncol* 2020; 11(8): 614-628

**URL:** https://www.wjgnet.com/2218-4333/full/v11/i8/614.htm

**DOI:** https://dx.doi.org/10.5306/wjco.v11.i8.614

**Core tip:** Mutant *Ras* has been shown to be associated with drug resistance, enhanced metastasis and shorter survival of patients. Due to reported heterogeneity among the distribution of the most frequent mutations in *Ras* isoforms in different patient populations with urothelial carcinoma of the bladder, it is necessary to examine these patients for *Ras* mutations in order to predict disease outcome. Our findings on the lack of *Ras* mutations could be explained on the basis of different etiological mechanisms involved in tumor development, inherent genetic susceptibility, tissue specificity or alternative *Ras* dysfunction including gene amplification or overexpression in a given cohort of patients.

**INTRODUCTION**

Urinary bladder cancer is the second most common genitourinary cancer globally and its occurrence has very high gender variability (http://cancerindia.org.in/globocan-2018-india-factsheet/). It is the sixth most common cancer in men and the seventeenth most common cancer in women. The etiology of bladder cancer is very complex. Among many factors, tobacco chewing/smoking and environmental or occupational exposure to a number of carcinogens have been identified as the most important risk factors for bladder cancer[1-3].

Urothelial carcinoma of the bladder (UCB) originates in the cells of the innermost layer of bladder urothelium and accounts for approximately 90% of all bladder cancers. Clinically, two distinct forms of UCB namely, non-muscle invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC in 75%-80% of patients) and muscle invasive bladder cancer (MIBC in 20%-25% of patients) develop along papillary and non-papillary pathways[4]. Patients diagnosed with NMIBC can be successfully treated. Nevertheless, these tumors have a higher tendency to recur (50% to 90%) and 15% progress to invasive and metastatic tumors. Morbidity and mortality are associated with the high grade, non-papillary, muscle invasive form of the disease. Molecular studies to characterize the genotypic differences in the pathogenesis of NMIBC and MIBC may improve the diagnostic/prognostic outcome of the disease.

Rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog (*Ras*) belongs to the family of small G proteins with intrinsic GTPase activity that governs various cellular signal transduction pathways. Alterations in the expression or functions of (*Ras*) genes caused by various point mutations within the gene have been established as prognostic factors in the genesis of a constitutively active RAS-mitogen activated protein kinase pathway that leads to cancer. Point mutations within the hotspot regions of *Ras* gene lead to reduced intrinsic GTPase activity, the protein is locked into a constitutively active state and results in aberrant cell signaling even in the absence of external signals[5]. *In vitro* and *in vivo* studies on tumor regression upon withdrawal of *Ras* expression indicate that mutant *Ras* is a therapeutically useful drug target even in advanced metastasis[6]. Mutant *Ras* gene has been shown to be associated with drug resistance, enhanced metastasis, poor prognosis and shorter survival of patients[7].

Approximately 30% of human cancers are known to harbor genomic mutations in the three functional isoforms of Ras genes (*H-Ras*; located at 11p15.5; *K-Ras*; located at 12p12.1; and *N-Ras*; located at 1p13.2). The most common mutational hotspots in the codons for amino acid residues 12, 13 or 61 are confined to exon 1 or 2 of *H-Ras* (G12V, G12S, G12A, G12D, G13D, Q61R); *K-Ras* (G12D, G12S, G12R, G12A, G12V, G12C, G13D); and *N-Ras* (G12D, Q61N, Q61L, Q61K). Tissue and organ specificities of *Ras* gene activation have been reported to vary with mutated codon and type of *Ras* gene isoform. *K-Ras* mutations occur frequently in non-small cell lung, colorectal, and pancreatic carcinomas; *H-Ras* mutations are common in bladder, kidney, and thyroid carcinomas; while *N-Ras* mutations have been identified in melanoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, and hematologic malignancies[8,9].

Published studies provide conflicting results regarding the frequency distribution of *Ras* mutational spectrum in UCB patients[10-14]. Out of a total of 11.67% mutations in exon 1 of *K-Ras*, maximum mutations were reported at codon 12 in bladder cancer patients[15]. Iranian patients with bladder cancer did not exhibit any mutation in the hotspot codons (12, 13, and 61)[16]. Various studies have examined 45%, 46.7% and 39% of *H-Ras* mutations in codon 12 in bladder cancer patients[17-19]. Due to the reported heterogeneity in the distribution of the most frequent mutations in *Ras* isoforms in bladder cancer specimens, it is necessary to examine the presence/absence of mutations in order to predict disease outcome[10,11].

Speculating the role of mutant *Ras* in bladder tumorigenesis, the present study has been conducted to determine its clinical impact by examining the relationship between clinical histopathological variables in UCB patients and the mutational spectrum. Frequency distribution and prevalence of mutations in the hotspot regions of *H-Ras* codon 12 (glycine to valine/serine/alanine/aspartic acid), *K-Ras* codon 12 (glycine to valine/aspartic acid/serine/arginine/alanine/cysteine), *K-Ras* codon 13 (glycine to aspartic acid) and *N-Ras* codon 61 (glutamine to lysine/arginine) were examined by polymerase chain reaction - restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) in a cohort of 87 North Indian UCB patients and 23 controls with normal bladder mucosa. The results were confirmed by direct DNA sequencing of coding exons 1 and 2 of *H-Ras*, *K-Ras* and *N-Ras* genes.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

***Patients and controls***

Patients were enrolled in the Urology OPD at King George’s Medical University (KGMU), Lucknow during 2018-2019. All 87 patients examined had symptoms of hematuria as a major sign followed by urinary frequency or irritative symptoms and were assessed for primary tumor. Patients underwent bimanual examination under anesthesia before and after endoscopic surgery (biopsy or transurethral resection) or histological verification of the absence or presence of tumor. Imaging of the chest, abdominal ultrasound and computed tomography of the abdomen (whenever required) were performed to detect common metastatic sites as well as lymph node involvement. Tumor tissues from 42 NMIBC (stage pTa-pT1) and 45 MIBC (stage pT2-pT4) were obtained after transurethral resection of the bladder tumor. Tissues were collected in RNAlater, snap frozen and stored at -80℃ for future use. Clinical data on the UCB patients and pathological classification/records based on pathological TNM staging were provided by the Department of Urology and Department of Pathology, KGMU, Lucknow. After informed consent, normal bladder mucosal tissues were collected from 23 benign prostate hyperplasia (BPH) patients during cold cup biopsy. These patients underwent transurethral resection of the prostate for BPH and had known bladder lesions. Pathologists independently diagnosed and classified bladder tumors according to World Health Organization and International Society of Urologic Pathology 2004 classification system[20]. Ethical clearance was obtained from Bioethics Cell, Institutional Ethics Committee, KGMU (reference no. 89th ECM II A/P8).

***DNA extraction***

Genomic DNA was extracted from 87 UCB and 23 control bladder mucosal tissues using proteinase K and phenol-chloroform extraction, followed by ethanol precipitation, and was quantified and then stored at -20°C.

***PCR-RFLP***

PCR was performed to amplify DNA segments which span (1) codon 12 of *H-Ras* gene; (2) codon 12 and codon 13 of *K-Ras* gene; and (3) codon 61 of *N-Ras* gene in 87 UCB and 23 bladder mucosal tissues. The primer sequences used are listed in Table 1.

PCR was carried out with 200 ng of DNA, 10 pmol of primer(s), and Emerald Amp max PCR master mix (TaKaRa, Clontech) using a thermal cycler (T100TM, BioRad, United States). Cycling conditions included initial denaturation at 98℃ for 20 s, followed by 30 cycles of [denaturation: 98℃ for 10 s, annealing: 60℃ (for *H-Ras* and *N-Ras*) and 58℃ for *K-Ras* for 30 s, and extension: 72℃ for 30 s] followed by a final extension at 72℃ for 5 min.

Restriction endonucleases MspI (Thermo Scientific), BstNI (Thermo Scientific), HphI (Thermo Scientific), and MScI (Thermo Scientific) were used to digest amplified PCR fragments containing codon 12 of *H-Ras*, codon 12 of *K-Ras*, codon 13 of *K-Ras*, and codon 61 of *N-Ras*, respectively. Buffers and incubation conditions (37℃ for 1-16 h) were used according to the manufacturers’ recommendations. The digested and undigested fragments were subjected to electrophoresis on 3% agarose gel. A summary of the *Ras* gene assays is described in Table 2.

***Direct DNA sequencing***

Coding exons 1 and 2 each of *H-Ras*, *N-Ras* and *K-Ras* were amplified by the laboratory developed primer pairs (Table 3). Primers were designed for the GenBank reference sequence of *H-Ras*, *N-Ras* and *K-Ras* (accession numbers: NM\_001130442.1.1, NM\_004985.4.1, NM\_002524.4.1, respectively) by Primer plus software. The 200 ng of DNA was amplified with 10 pmol primer using the Phusion high-fidelity PCR kit (Thermo Scientific). The thermal profile included initial denaturation at 98℃ for 40 s, followed by 35 cycles of (1) *H-Ras*: [denaturation: 98℃ for 5 s, annealing: 63.2℃ (for exon 1) and 64.8℃ (for exon 2) for 10 s, and extension: 72℃ for 15 s]; (2) *N-Ras*: [denaturation: 98℃ for 5 s, annealing: 62.1℃ (for exon 1) and 61.4℃ (for exon 2) for 10 s, and extension: 72℃ for 15 s]; (3) *K-Ras*: [denaturation: 98℃ for 5 s, annealing: 61.8℃ (for exon 1) and 61.3℃ (for exon 2) for 10 s, and extension: 72℃ for 15 s]; followed by a final extension at 72℃ for 7 min. Amplified PCR products were electrophoresed on 2% agarose gel, eluted and purified with a QIAquick® PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Sequencing reactions were performed for both the DNA strands by the BigDye™ Terminator v1.1 Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit version 3.1 (Applied Biosystems, Monza, Italy) on a total of 10 ng of purified PCR products. Sequence analysis was performed using a 3500 Genetic Analyzer. The files/electropherogram obtained were analyzed by seq scap\_v5.2 software. Sequence results of bladder mucosa were aligned with the reference sequences (mentioned above) using Blastn. Furthermore, DNA sequences of the respective regions in bladder tumor specimens were compared with that of wild-type sequences to examine the presence/absence of mutations in the coding exons 1 and 2 of the *H-Ras*, *N-Ras* and *K-Ras* genes.

**RESULTS**

***Clinical histopathological summary of patients***

The mean age of the patients included in the study was 58.3 years (range: 25-83 years) and 44 (50.17%) patients were older than 60 years. The male to female ratio was 11:1. Of 87 patients, 66 (75.86%) had a positive history of either smoking or a tobacco chewing habit. Twenty of 87 tumors (22.98%) were more than 3 cm in size, whereas 67 tumors (77.01%) were less than 3 cm. Pathologically, 42/87 (48.27%) tumors were classified as NMIBC and 45/87 (51.72%) as MIBC. According to the histopathological classification, 80.95% (34/42) non-muscle invasive tumors were of low grade and 19.04% (8/42) were of high grade. All MIBC patients had a high grade tumor. Of 87 tumors, 26/87 (29.88%) were recurrent type, while the remaining 61/87 (70.11%) were identified as primary tumors (Table 4).

***Point mutation detection in H-Ras***

PCR-RFLP was carried out to examine the point mutation in codon 12 of *H-Ras* gene in 87 bladder tumor tissues and 23 normal bladder mucosal tissues. Digestion of the wild-type amplicon of 420 bp by MspI gave rise to two bands of 390 bp and 30 bp. The presence of a point mutation at codon 12 results in loss or modification of the endonuclease recognition site which is indicative of the translational change of glycine (GGC) to serine (AGC)/valine (GTC)/alanine (GCC)/aspartic acid (GAC). In our study, none of the tumors were examined for the presence of point mutation at codon 12 of *H-Ras* gene (Figure 1A).

Direct DNA sequencing of the coding exonic region 1 spanning the codons 12, 13 and exon 2 containing hotspot codon 61 of *H-Ras* gene was performed. Blastn results of DNA sequences in all the tumor specimens showed 100% alignment with that of the wild-type. Electropherogram analysis did not identify the presence of any point mutations in exons 1 and 2 of *H-Ras* genes in the tumor specimens (Figure 1B and C).

***Point mutation detection in N-Ras***

Tumor specimens from 87 UCB patients and 23 normal bladder mucosal tissues were examined by PCR-RFLP for the presence or absence of specific point mutations at codon 61. The presence of a point mutation at codon 61 may result in the conversion of glutamine (CAA) to lysine (AAA)/arginine (CGA)/leucine (CTA). The proper restriction site (*TGG↓CCA)* was created by changing only one nucleotide in a forward primer just before the start of codon 61. Restriction digestion of the wild-type amplicon of 65 bp by enzyme MscI resulted in its cleavage into 21 bp and 44 bp (Figure 2A). The present study failed to detect the presence of point mutations in 87 UCB and 23 normal mucosal specimens.

Direct DNA sequencing was performed to detect the point mutations in *N-Ras* coding exons 1 and 2 spanning codons 12, 13; and 61, respectively. Sequencing results in the wild-type and tumor specimens were analyzed and compared. The presence of point mutations in the hotspots of codon 12 and 13 of exon 1 and codon 61 of exon 2 of *N-Ras* gene was not detected in any of the bladder specimens (Figure 2B and C).

***Point mutation detection in K-Ras***

PCR amplification followed by RFLP was carried out to determine the presence of point mutations in codons 12 and 13 in *K-Ras* gene in 87 UCB and 23 normal bladder mucosal tissues. A primer was designed to create a restriction site just before the start of codon 12. Restriction digestion of the wild-type amplicon of 144 bp by enzyme BstNI resulted in its cleavage into 115 bp and 29 bp. The presence of a point mutation at codon 12 results in loss of the recognition site which is indicative of the translational change of glycine (GGT) to valine (GTT)/aspartic acid (GAT)/serine (AGT)/arginine (CGT)/alanine (GCT)/cysteine (TGT). The presence of a point mutation at codon 12 in *K-Ras* gene was not observed (Figure 3A).

Enzyme HphI was used to cleave the restriction site (GGTGA7/8↓) at codon 13 which is indicative of the conversion of glycine (GGC) to aspartic acid (GAC) in *K-Ras* gene. This site does not exist in the wild-type but tends to appear in mutants. The wild-type amplicon yielded a fragment of 144 bp when cut by HphI. Nevertheless, the presence of a mutation at codon 13 would yield two fragments of 101 bp and 43 bp oligonucleotides on restriction digestion (Figure 3B). PCR-RFLP failed to identify any mutational change in codon 13 of *K-Ras* gene in tumor and normal bladder mucosal tissues.

The results of direct DNA sequencing and Blastn of coding exons 1 (spanning codons 12 and 13) and 2 (spanning hotspot codon 61) of *K-Ras* genes in tumor and normal bladder mucosal tissues exhibited 100% alignment. DNA sequencing analysis verified the results of PCR-RFLP. No point mutations in the hotspots of exonic regions 1 and 2 of the *K-Ras* gene were observed (Figure 3C and D).

**DISCUSSION**

Considerable experimental evidence has demonstrated the significance of continual expression of mutant *Ras* in tumor maintenance. Withdrawal or suppression of *Ras* expression impairs the *in vitro* growth of *Ras*-mutant human cancer cell lines and tumor regression in mouse models driven by inducible mutant *Ras*. These findings indicate that mutant *Ras* is a therapeutically useful drug target even in advanced metastatic tumors[6].

Studies of a variety of tumors have demonstrated the prevalence of specific point mutations in the hotspots of *Ras* isoforms. These point mutations are known to transform *Ras* proto-oncogene into an oncogene and prevent normal deactivation of *Ras* proteins. Activated *Ras* proteins are associated with drug resistance, enhanced metastasis, poor prognosis and shorter survival of patients[7]. The present study examined the mutational spectrum at the hotspot regions of *H-Ras* codon 12, *K-Ras* codons 12, 13 and *N-Ras* codon 61 by PCR-RFLP followed by direct DNA sequencing of the coding exons 1 and 2 of the three *Ras* isoforms in 87 UCB patients and their clinical impact if any.

The incidence of *Ras* mutations varies, and greatly depends on the tissue or cell type from which the cancer cells are derived. Although *Ras* mutations occur in 75% to 95% of pancreatic carcinomas and in 50% of colon carcinomas, they are rare in several other neoplasms[15]. The *H-Ras* mutation was first detected in the human bladder cancer cell line T24*.* Subsequent studies demonstrated the frequent occurrence of *H-Ras* mutations in urinary tract tumors compared to mutations in *K-Ras* or *N-Ras* genes[21]. A number of studies has reported *H-Ras* mutations with variable frequencies in urinary bladder cancer specimens. Fitzgerald *et al* reported mutations in the *H-Ras* gene in 44% of urine sediments from bladder cancer patients[22]. Czerniak *et al* observed *H-Ras* mutation specifically at codon G12 in 45% of bladder cancers[17]. Zhu *et al*[19] and Buyru *et al*[18] showed 46.7% and 39% point mutations in *H-Ras* at codon 12, respectively. Cattan *et al*[23] detected only 1% of such alterations in bladder cancer patients[23]. In constrast, Przybojewska *et al*[24] observed *H-Ras* mutations in 84% of patients with bladder cancer using PCR-RFLP. In contradiction to many earlier published studies, we did not find mutations at *H-Ras* codon G12 (glycine to valine/serine/alanine/aspartic acid) or in the coding exons 1 and 2 in a cohort of North Indian urothelial bladder cancer patients.

*N-Ras* gene mutations have mainly been associated with hematopoietic malignancies and melanoma[25,26]. Results of the study by Przybojewska *et al*[24] revealed the frequent prevalence (80%) of *N-Ras* gene mutations at codon Q61 (glutamine to lysine) in bladder tumor tissues. These tumor tissues were obtained following infiltration of urinary bladder walls as well as peripheral blood specimens from confirmed bladder cancer patients[24]. Of the total mutations detected in *N-Ras* gene, 60% of mutations were observed in codon 61 in a cohort of North Indian patients[26]. A strong association between the percentage of mutations in *Ras* genes and smoking status of patients (total of 78% mutations) and the age of patients (more than 60 years with total of 80% mutations) was observed. However, no association between the percentage mutation distribution and tumor stage/grade was reported[27]. Jebar *et al*[10] examined one mutation in codon 12 and three mutations in codon 61 in 98 urinary bladder tumors and 31 bladder cell lines. Our findings on the lack of *N-Ras* gene mutations in bladder cancer patients are not in accordance with published studies. Heterogeneity in the results/genomic alterations could be attributed to the differences in ethnicity, exposure to different environmental carcinogens, genetic susceptibility to carcinogens and or tissue specificity.

High frequency of *K-Ras* gene mutations has been detected in many forms of cancer, including pancreatic cancer (80%-90%) and adenocarcinoma of the lung (60%)[17,22]. Cancers of the lung, large intestine (including colon, rectal and anal), pancreas and biliary tract exhibited higher frequency of mutations in *K-Ras* gene[28]. Observed similarities in the percentage distribution of mutations in *K-Ras* codons in lung cancer (58%) and bladder cancer (47%) could be due to the effects of tobacco consumption. Tobacco is considered an important risk factor for both of these cancers and can induce local somatic mutations in genes[13]. These studies did not report an association between *K-Ras* mutations and tumor stage/grade[13]. Unlike the majority of tumors that harbor an activated *K-Ras* gene, changes in *K-Ras* gene have been observed as a rare event in urinary bladder tumors[24]. Studies examined the percentage mutation prevalence at codon G12 (glycine to valine/aspartic acid/serine/arginine/alanine/cysteine) and codon G13 (glycine to aspartic acid) in *K-Ras* gene as an infrequent event in bladder cancer[29,30]. A study by Nanda *et al*[15] identified 11.67% tumors which harbored *K-Ras* mutations as well as a significant correlation of the *K-Ras* mutant status with the smoking history of patients, high tumor grade, lymph node involvement and tumor recurrence. Yan *et al* reported the ability of mutant *K-Ras*, but not *H-Ras*, to confer metastatic phenotype in cells by interfering with the maturation of cell surface integrins and disrupting cell-cell adhesion. A recently published study reported a higher prevalence of point mutations in all the *Ras* isoforms in NMIBC (27%) compared to MIBC (9.4%) patients[31].

In contrast to earlier published studies, our findings on the lack of *H-Ras*, *K-Ras* and *N-Ras* gene mutations in urothelial bladder cancer patients provide evidence for the tissue specific activation of *Ras* isoforms.

Discrepancies/heterogeneity in the frequency distribution of mutations at hotspot regions/codons in different isoforms of *Ras* gene among different cohorts of UCB patients belonging to different ethnic groups are reported in many published studies. Observed heterogeneity among different studies could be explained on the basis of different etiological mechanisms involved in disease development/progression, inherent genetic susceptibility or alternative *Ras* dysfunction such as gene amplification and/or overexpression.

In conclusion, *Ras* mutations are the most common genetic alterations known in human cancers. Single base changes/ point mutations in codon 12, 13 and 61 of the three closely related isoforms of the *Ras* gene family namely, *H-Ras*, *K-Ras* and *N-Ras* cause loss of intrinsic GTPase activity and thereby confer oncogenic functions. Oncogenic activation of *Ras* genes is involved in urothelial malignancies.

The present study was conducted to determine the clinical impact of mutant *Ras* by examining the relationship of clinical histopathological variables in 87 UCB patients with the mutational spectrum at the hotspot regions of *H-Ras*, *K-Ras* and *N-Ras* genes by PCR-RFLP and direct DNA sequencing.

The current observations rule out the possible role of the mutations examined in the above-mentioned hotspot regions in *Ras* gene activation. Our findings on the lack of mutations in *H-Ras*, *K-Ras* and *N-Ras* genes could be explained on the basis of different etiological mechanisms involved in disease development/progression, inherent genetic susceptibility, tissue specificity or alternative *Ras* dysfunction such as gene amplification and/or overexpression.

**ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

***Research background***

Mutational activation of *Ras* genes has been established as a prognostic factor for the genesis of a constitutively active RAS-mitogen activated protein kinase pathway that leads to cancer.

***Research motivation***

Due to the reported heterogeneity among the distribution of the most frequent mutations in *Ras* isoforms in different patient populations with urothelial carcinoma of the bladder (UCB), it is necessary to determine the presence/absence of mutations in order to predict disease outcome.

***Research motivation***

The present study was conducted to determine the mutational spectrum at the hotspot regions of *H-Ras*, *K-Ras* and *N-Ras* genes.

***Research objectives***

PCR-RFLP and direct DNA sequencing were employed to determine the presence or absence of mutations in the *Ras* isoforms and their clinical impact, if any, in 87 UCB patients.

***Research methods***

None of the 87 UCB patients showed point mutations in codon 12 of *H-Ras* gene; codon 61 of *N-Ras* gene and codons 12, 13 of *K-Ras* gene by PCR-RFLP. Direct DNA sequencing of tumor and control bladder mucosal specimens followed by Blastn alignment with the reference wild-type sequences failed to identify even a single nucleotide difference in the coding exons 1 and 2 of *H-Ras*, *N-Ras* and *K-Ras* genes in the tumor and normal bladder mucosal specimens.

***Research results***

Our findings on the lack of mutations in *H-Ras*, *K-Ras* and *N-Ras* genes could be explained on the basis of different etiological mechanisms involved in tumor development/progression, inherent genetic susceptibility, and or tissue specificity in a given cohort of patients.

***Research conclusions***



Gene amplification and/or overexpression of *Ras* could further explain an alternative mechanism of its dysfunction in *Ras* driven cancers.

**REFERENCES**

1 **Janković S**, Radosavljević V. Risk factors for bladder cancer. *Tumori* 2007; **93**: 4-12 [PMID: 17455864 DOI: 10.1177/030089160709300102]

**Fig.3c**

**Fig.3b**



**TS**

**WT**

**TS**

**WT**

**TS**

**WT**

**TS**

**WT**

**TS**

**WT**

**WT**



**TS**

**WT**

**TS**



2 **Letašiová S**, Medve'ová A, Šovčíková A, Dušinská M, Volkovová K, Mosoiu C, Bartonová A. Bladder cancer, a review of the environmental risk factors. *Environ Health* 2012; **11 Suppl 1**: S11 [PMID: 22759493 DOI: 10.1186/1476-069X-11-S1-S11]

3 **Garg M**. Urothelial cancer stem cells and epithelial plasticity: current concepts and therapeutic implications in bladder cancer. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* 2015; **34**: 691-701 [PMID: 26328525 DOI: 10.1007/s10555-015-9589-6]

4 **Dalbagni G**, Presti J, Reuter V, Fair WR, Cordon-Cardo C. Genetic alterations in bladder cancer. *Lancet* 1993; **342**: 469-471 [PMID: 8102431 DOI: 10.1016/0140-6736(93)91595-d]

5 **Boulalas I**, Zaravinos A, Karyotis I, Delakas D, Spandidos DA. Activation of RAS family genes in urothelial carcinoma. *J Urol* 2009; **181**: 2312-2319 [PMID: 19303097 DOI: 10.1016/j.juro.2009.01.011]

6 **Cox AD**, Fesik SW, Kimmelman AC, Luo J, Der CJ. Drugging the undruggable RAS: Mission possible? *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2014; **13**: 828-851 [PMID: 25323927 DOI: 10.1038/nrd4389]

7 **Shrestha G**, MacNeil SM, McQuerry JA, Jenkins DF, Sharma S, Bild AH. The value of genomics in dissecting the RAS-network and in guiding therapeutics for RAS-driven cancers. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 2016; **58**: 108-117 [PMID: 27338857 DOI: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2016.06.012]

8 **Haliassos A**, Liloglou T, Likourinas M, Doumas C, Ricci N, Spandidos D. H-ras oncogene mutations in the urine of patients with bladder-tumors - description of a noninvasive method for the detection of neoplasia. *Int J Oncol* 1992; **1**: 731-734 [PMID: 21584608]

9 **Tripathi K**, Garg M. Mechanistic regulation of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition through RAS signaling pathway and therapeutic implications in human cancer. *J Cell Commun Signal* 2018; **12**: 513-527 [PMID: 29330773 DOI: 10.1007/s12079-017-0441-3]

10 **Jebar AH**, Hurst CD, Tomlinson DC, Johnston C, Taylor CF, Knowles MA. FGFR3 and Ras gene mutations are mutually exclusive genetic events in urothelial cell carcinoma. *Oncogene* 2005; **24**: 5218-5225 [PMID: 15897885 DOI: 10.1038/sj.onc.1208705]

11 **Kompier LC**, Lurkin I, van der Aa MN, van Rhijn BW, van der Kwast TH, Zwarthoff EC. FGFR3, HRAS, KRAS, NRAS and PIK3CA mutations in bladder cancer and their potential as biomarkers for surveillance and therapy. *PLoS One* 2010; **5**: e13821 [PMID: 21072204 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0013821]

12 **Sjödahl G**, Lauss M, Gudjonsson S, Liedberg F, Halldén C, Chebil G, Månsson W, Höglund M, Lindgren D. A systematic study of gene mutations in urothelial carcinoma; inactivating mutations in TSC2 and PIK3R1. *PLoS One* 2011; **6**: e18583 [PMID: 21533174 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0018583]

13 **Ouerhani S**, Elgaaied AB. The mutational spectrum of HRAS, KRAS, NRAS and FGFR3 genes in bladder cancer. *Cancer Biomark* 2011-2012; **10**: 259-266 [PMID: 22820081 DOI: 10.3233/CBM-2012-0254]

14 **Kim J**, Kim WT, Kim WJ. Advances in urinary biomarker discovery in urological research. *Investig Clin Urol* 2020; **61**: S8-S22 [PMID: 32055750 DOI: 10.4111/icu.2020.61.S1.S8]

15 **Nanda MS**, Sameer AS, Syeed N, Shah ZA, Murtaza I, Siddiqi MA, Ali A. Genetic aberrations of the K-Ras proto-oncogene in bladder cancer in Kashmiri population. *Urol J* 2010; **7**: 168-173 [PMID: 20845292]

16 **Karimianpour N**, Mousavi-Shafaei P, Ziaee AA, Akbari MT, Pourmand G, Abedi A, Ahmadi A, Afshin Alavi H. Mutations of RAS gene family in specimens of bladder cancer. *Urol J* 2008; **5**: 237-242 [PMID: 19101897]

17 **Czerniak B**, Cohen GL, Etkind P, Deitch D, Simmons H, Herz F, Koss LG. Concurrent mutations of coding and regulatory sequences of the Ha-Ras gene in urinary bladder carcinomas. *Hum Pathol* 1992; **23**: 1199-1204 [PMID: 1427748 DOI: 10.1016/0046-8177(92)90285-b]

18 **Buyru N**, Tigli H, Ozcan F, Dalay N. Ras oncogene mutations in urine sediments of patients with bladder cancer. *J Biochem Mol Biol* 2003; **36**: 399-402 [PMID: 12895299 DOI: 10.5483/bmbrep.2003.36.4.399]

19 **Zhu D**, Xing D, Shen X, Liu J. A method to quantitatively detect H-Ras point mutation based on electrochemiluminescence. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2004; **324**: 964-969 [PMID: 15474521 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2004.09.121]

20 **Eble JN,** Sauter G, Epstein JI, Sesterhenn IA. Tumors of the urinary system. In: World Health Organization Classification of Tumours: Pathology and Genetics of Tumours of the Urinary System and Male Genital Organs. Lyon, France: IARC Press; 2004

21 **Rabbani F**, Cordon-Cardo C. Mutation of cell cycle regulators and their impact on superficial bladder cancer. *Urol Clin North Am* 2000; **27**: 83-102, ix [PMID: 10696248 DOI: 10.1016/s0094-0143(05)70237-8]

22 **Fitzgerald JM**, Ramchurren N, Rieger K, Levesque P, Silverman M, Libertino JA, Summerhayes IC. Identification of H-Ras mutations in urine sediments complements cytology in the detection of bladder tumors. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1995; **87**: 129-133 [PMID: 7707384 DOI: 10.1093/jnci/87.2.129]

23 **Cattan N**, Saison-Behmoaras T, Mari B, Mazeau C, Amiel JL, Rossi B, Gioanni J. Screening of human bladder carcinomas for the presence of Ha-ras codon 12 mutation. *Oncol Rep* 2000; **7**: 497-500 [PMID: 10767358 DOI: 10.3892/or.7.3.497]

24 **Przybojewska B**, Jagiello A, Jalmuzna P. H-RAS, K-RAS, and N-RAS gene activation in human bladder cancers. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* 2000; **121**: 73-77 [PMID: 10958945 DOI: 10.1016/s0165-4608(00)00223-5]

25 **Bartram CR**, Ludwig WD, Hiddemann W, Lyons J, Buschle M, Ritter J, Harbott J, Fröhlich A, Janssen JW. Acute myeloid leukemia: analysis of ras gene mutations and clonality defined by polymorphic X-linked loci. *Leukemia* 1989; **3**: 247-256 [PMID: 2564452]

26 **Ball NJ**, Yohn JJ, Morelli JG, Norris DA, Golitz LE, Hoeffler JP. Ras mutations in human melanoma: a marker of malignant progression. *J Invest Dermatol* 1994; **102**: 285-290 [PMID: 8120410 DOI: 10.1111/1523-1747.ep12371783]

27 **Pandith AA**, Shah ZA, Khan NP, Bhat AY, Wani SM, Siddiqi MA. Screening of N-Ras gene mutations in urothelial cell carcinomas of the urinary bladder in the Kashmiri population. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2009; **10**: 1063-1066 [PMID: 20192584 DOI: 10.4103/0019-509X.52956]

28 **Miller MS**, Miller LD. RAS mutations and oncogenesis: Not all RAS mutations are created equally. *Front Genet* 2011; **2**: 100 [PMID: 22303394 DOI: 10.3389/fgene.2011.00100]

29 **Uchida T**, Wada C, Ishida H, Egawa S, Ao T, Yokoyama E, Koshiba K. Infrequent involvement of mutations on neurofibromatosis type 1, H-Ras, K-Ras and N-Ras in urothelial tumors. *Urol Int* 1995; **55**: 63-67 [PMID: 8533197 DOI: 10.1159/000282753]

30 **Olderøy G**, Daehlin L, Ogreid D. Low-frequency mutation of Ha-Ras and Ki-Ras oncogenes in transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder. *Anticancer Res* 1998; **18**: 2675-2678 [PMID: 9703927]

31 **Kawahara T**, Kojima T, Kandori S, Kurobe M, Yoshino T, Kimura T, Nagumo Y, Ishituka R, Mitsuzuka K, Narita S, Kobayashi T, Matsui Y, Ogawa O, Sugimoto M, Miyazaki J, Nishiyama H. TP53 codon 72 polymorphism is associated with FGFR3 and RAS mutation in non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *PLoS One* 2019; **14**: e0220173 [PMID: 31369573 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0220173]

**Footnotes**

**Institutional review board statement:** This **s**tudy was approved by the appropriate institutional research ethics committee and was performed in accordance with the ethical standards as laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Ethical clearance was obtained from Bioethics Cell, Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC), KGMU (Reference no. 89th ECM II A/P8), Lucknow, India.

**Informed consent statement:** Subjects were not required to give informed consent as the analysis used anonymous data that were obtained after each patient agreed to treatment by written consent.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** All the authors of the study declare no potential conflict-of-interest.

**Data sharing statement:** No additional data are available.

**STROBE statement:** The authors have read the STROBE Statement-checklist of items, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the STROBE Statement-checklist of items.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

**Manuscript source:** Invited manuscript

**Peer-review started:** January20, 2020

**First decision:** April 21, 2020

**Article in press:** July 1, 2020

**Specialty type:** Oncology

**Country/Territory of origin:** India

**Peer-review report’s scientific quality classification**

Grade A (Excellent): 0

Grade B (Very good): 0

Grade C (Good): C

Grade D (Fair): 0

Grade E (Poor): 0

**P-Reviewer:** Kai K **S-Editor:** Dou Y **L-Editor:** Webster JR **E-Editor:** Wang LL

**Figure Legends**

**手机屏幕的截图

描述已自动生成**

**手机屏幕的截图

描述已自动生成 Figure 1 *H-Ras* gene point mutation analysis in patients diagnosed with urothelial bladder cancer.** A: Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) - restriction fragment length polymorphism of codon 12: Undigested amplified PCR product (420-bp); MspI-cut PCR product (390-bp and 30-bp); Lanes 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11 and 13: undigested products; and Lanes 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14: digested products.Lanes 1 and 2 represent the band pattern in normal bladder mucosal tissues whereas lanes 3, 4; 5, 6; 7, 8; 9, 10; 11, 12; and 13, 14 represent the band patterns in tumor specimens; B: Direct DNA sequencing of *H-Ras* coding exon 1 in bladder tumors and normal bladder tissues. Codons 12 and 13 are highlighted; C: Direct DNA sequencing of *H-Ras* coding exon 2 in bladder tumors and normal bladder tissues. Codon 61 is highlighted. TS: Tumor specimen; WT: Wild-type.


**电脑屏幕的照片

描述已自动生成 手机屏幕截图

描述已自动生成**

**Figure 2 *N-Ras* gene point mutation analysis in patients diagnosed with urothelial bladder cancer.** A: Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) - restriction fragment length polymorphism of codon 61. Undigested amplified PCR product (65-bp); MscI-cut PCR product (44-bp and 21-bp); Lanes 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, and 13: undigested products; and Lanes 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14: digested products. Lanes 1 and 2 represent the band patterns in normal bladder mucosal tissues whereas lanes 3, 4; 5, 6; 7, 8; 9, 10; 11 12; and 13, 14 represent the band patterns in tumor specimens; B: Direct DNA sequencing of *N-Ras* coding exon 1 in bladder tumors and normal bladder tissues. Codons 12 and 13 are highlighted; C: Direct DNA sequencing of *N-Ras* coding exon 2 in bladder tumors and normal bladder tissues. Codon 61 is highlighted. TS: Tumor specimen; WT: Wild-type.

电脑萤幕上有许多字

描述已自动生成

**Figure 3*****K-Ras* gene point mutation analysis in patients diagnosed with urothelial bladder cancer.** A: Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) - restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) of codon 12. Undigested amplified PCR product (144-bp); BstI-cut PCR product (115-bp and 29-bp); Lanes 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9: undigested products and Lanes 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10: digested PCR products. Lanes 1 and 2 represent the band patterns in normal bladder tissues whereas lanes 3, 4; 5, 6; 7, 8; and 9, 10 represent the band patterns in tumor specimens; B: PCR-RFLP of *K-Ras* codon 13. Undigested amplified PCR product (144-bp); Hph I-cut PCR product (101-bp and 43-bp); Lanes 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11: undigested products and Lanes 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10: digested PCR products. Lanes 1 and 2 represent the band patterns in normal bladder tissues whereas lanes 3, 4; 5, 6; 7, 8; 9, 10; and 11 represent the band patterns in tumor specimens; C: Direct DNA sequencing of *K-Ras* coding exon 1 in bladder tumors and normal bladder tissues. Codons 12 and 13 are highlighted; D: Direct DNA sequencing of *K-Ras* coding exon 2 in bladder tumors and normal bladder tissues. Codon 61 is highlighted. TS: Tumor specimen; WT: Wild-type.

**Table 1 Primer sequences used for polymerase chain reaction - restriction fragment length polymorphism**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Gene | Target codon | Strand | Primer sequences |
| *H-Ras* | 12 | + | 5’GACGGAATATAAGCTGGTGG 3’ |
| - | 5’AGGCACGTCTCCCCATCAAT 3’ |
| *K-Ras* | 12 and 13 | + | 5’ACTGAATATAAACTTGTGGTAGTTGGACCT 3’ |
| - | 5’TTCTCCATCAATTACTACTTGCTTCCTGTA 3’ |
| *N-Ras* | 61 | + | 5’GACATACTGGATACAGCTGGC 3’ |
| - | 5’CCTGTCCTGATGTATTGGTC 3’ |

+: Forward strand; -: Reverse strand.

**Table 2 Summary of *Ras* gene assays**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Gene** | **Target codon** | **Restriction enzyme/site** | **Fragment Size** | | |
| **Undigested** | **Mutant after digestion** | **Wild-type/normal after digestion** |
| *K-Ras* | 12 Glycine (GGT) to Valine (GTT)/Aspartic acid (GAT)/Serine (AGT)/Arginine (CGT)/Alanine (GCT)/Cysteine (TGT) | MvaI (BstNI) | 144 bp | 144 bp | 115 bp and 29 bp |
| CC WGG |
| GGW CC |
| *K-Ras* | 13 Glycine (GGC) to Aspartic acid (GAC) | HphI | 144 bp | 101 bp and 43 bp | 144 bp |
| GGTGAN8 |
| CCACTN7 |
| *H-Ras* | 12 Glycine (GGC) to Valine (GTC)/Aspartic acid (GAC)/Serine (AGC)/Alanine (GCC) | Mspl (HpaII) | 420 bp | 420 bp | 390 bp and 30 bp |
| (C CGG) |
| (GGC C) |
| *N-Ras* | 61 Glutamine (CAA) to  Arginine (CGA)/Lysine (AAA)/Leucine (CTA) | Mlsl (MscI) | 65 bp | 65bp | 44 bp and 21 bp |
| (TGG CCA) |
| (ACC GGT) |

bp: Base pair.

**Table 3 Primer sequences used in direct DNA Sequencing**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Gene** | **Strand** | **Coding exon** | **Primer sequences** | **Length of amplified fragment** |
| *K-Ras* | + | 1 | F 5'-TTAACCTTATGTGTGACATGTTCTAA-3' | 378 bp |
| *K-Ras* | - | 1 | R 5'-CCCTGACATACTCCCAAGGA-3' |  |
| *K-Ras* | + | 2 | F 5'- TCAAGTCCTTTGCCCATTTT-3' | 375 bp |
| *K-Ras* | - | 2 | R 5'- TGCATGGCATTAGCAAAGAC-3' |  |
| *N-Ras* | + | 1 | F 5'-GCCCAAGGACTGTTGAAAAA-3' | 477 bp |
| *N-Ras* | - | 1 | R 5'-TGCATAACTGAATGTATACCCAAAA-3' |  |
| *N-Ras* | + | 2 | F 5'-GGCAGAAATGGGCTTGAATA-3' | 424 bp |
| *N-Ras* | - | 2 | R 5'-CCTAAAACCAACTCTTCCCATAA-3' |  |
| *H-Ras* | + | 1 | F 5'-GTGGGTTTGCCCTTCAGAT-3' | 386 bp |
| *H-Ras* | - | 1 | R 5'-TCTAGAGGAAGCAGGAGACAGG-3' |  |
| *H-Ras* | + | 2 | F 5'-CAGGACACAGCCAGGATAGG-3' | 492 bp |
| *H-Ras* | - | 2 | R 5'-ACATGCGCAGAGAGGACAG-3' |  |

F: Forward strand (+); R: Reverse strand (-); bp: Base pair.

**Table 4 Clinicohistopathological profile of patients with urothelial carcinoma of bladder**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Clinicohistopathological variables** | ***n* (%)** |
| Total no. of patients | 87 (100) |
| Age (yr) mean, range | 58.3, 25-83 |
| *n* < 60 | 44 (50.17) |
| *n* ≥ 60 | 43 (49.42) |
| Gender |  |
| Male | 81 (93.10) |
| Female | 8 (9.19) |
| Hematuria |  |
| Present | 87(100) |
| Absent | Nil |
| No information | Nil |
| Smoking/Tobacco chewing status |  |
| Smokers | 66 (75.86) |
| Non-smokers | 21 (24.1) |
| Tumor grade |  |
| Low | 34 (39.04) |
| High | 53 (60.91) |
| Tumor stage |  |
| Ta-T1 (Low/NMIBC) | 42 (48.27) |
| T2-T4 (High/MIBC) | 45 (51.72) |
| Tumor type |  |
| Primary | 61 (70.11) |
| Recurrent | 26 (29.88) |
| Tumor Size |  |
| > 3 cm | 20 (22.98) |
| < 3 cm | 67 (77.01) |

NMIBC: Non-muscle invasive bladder cancer; MIBC: Muscle invasive bladder cancer.