

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stem Cells

Manuscript NO: 54340

Title: Crif1 contributes to osteoclastogenesis by inducing RANKL after radiation

Reviewer's code: 02921008

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: DDS

Professional title: Academic Research, Doctor

Reviewer's country: Iran

Author's country: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-01-23

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-01-23 06:59

Reviewer performed review: 2020-01-23 19:40

Review time: 12 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

This is a very good study with a very well written paper. I congratulate the authors for this nice job. Please revise it: 1. Please fill in an English version of ARRIVE checklist. 2. Please fill in the STROBE checklist and add items necessary in STROBE. 3. Please discuss the limitations of the study. 4. Please provide the raw data files as appendixes or as supplementary online files. 5. Please calculate test powers. 6. In the introduction, please justify the study. (why it is important to the literature and what it adds?) 7. Please correct and improve few grammatical issues. 8. Please approach the conclusions with more caution.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No