

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 54396

Title: Rare case of recurrent gallstone ileus: A case report

Reviewer's code: 02551224

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-01-27

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-01-27 09:39

Reviewer performed review: 2020-01-27 10:32

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Dear authors, the article entitled "The fastest recurrence time for recurrent gallstone ileus: case report and literature review", by Hao Jiang and colleagues from Taizhou Central Hospital in China, is a useful contribution to better define the clinical strategy in case of gallstone ileus. There are some linguisting imprecisions, but the case in the complex is well documented and exposed. On the basis of this early recurrence, I would better stress the importance to perform surgery in one time, performing at least cholecystolithotomy, if cholecystectomy is difficult, whenever the clinical conditions allow this. I think it is always better a single longer operation than two ones.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 54396

Title: Rare case of recurrent gallstone ileus: A case report

Reviewer's code: 03034605

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MBBS

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-01-27

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-01-27 04:09

Reviewer performed review: 2020-01-29 06:20

Review time: 2 Days and 2 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have presented an interesting case of recurrent gallstone ileus. I have following comments for the authors. 1. The title needs to be modified as mentioning fastest recurrence time doesn't look appropriate. Instead mentioning recurrent gallstone ileus is sufficient. 2. Abstract - the background needs to be modified as easy to ignore is not the appropriate term. 3. Introduction - Appropriately written. 4. Case presentation - What was the site of operation in the second surgery? Why was the hospital stay prolonged (20 days) after the second surgery? Please mention the site of bilioenteric fistula here as found during the operation. 5. Please provide intraoperative images if available. 6. Discussion - Appropriately written. 7. Conclusion - it needs to be shortened to give only the take home message. I believe its important to emphasise about through examination of the bowel and gallbladder for gallstones based on preoperative imaging during first surgery and remove them ignorer to avoid recurrence.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No