Dear Editor:

I have revised our manuscript (54510) for publication in World Journal of Clinical Cases. We have addressed the reviewer's comments and submit our detailed responses as well as the revised manuscript (according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision and the Format for Manuscript Revision for your specific manuscript type: 'Case Report'). The comments have greatly contributed to our improvement and presentation. Thank you very much for your review. We hope you now find it suitable for publication.

I resolved all issues in the manuscript based on peer-review report(s) and make a point-to point response to the issues raised in the peer-review report(s) which listed below:

Peer-review report(s)

Reviewer #1:
Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Accept (General priority)
Specific Comments to Authors: Authors, designation of tooth 26 should be according to the FDI and reported as tooth 2.6. Please correct The main goal of this treatment is to prevent apical periodontitis and promote its healing[4]. Better to use the word pathosis than periodontitis.

Question1. Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Answer: I revised the manuscript and the language polishing (Gold Language Editing this time by SNAS) was finished. The language editing certificate was provided by SNAS.

Question2. Specific Comments to Authors: Authors, designation of tooth 26 should be according to the FDI and reported as tooth 2.6.

Answer: I have changed tooth 26 for tooth 2.6.

Question3. Please correct The main goal of this treatment is to prevent apical periodontitis and promote its healing[4]. Better to use the word pathosis than periodontitis.

Answer: I have changed pathosis for periodontitis in this sentence.

I have revised the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which listed below:

Editorial Office's comments

(1) ***Science Editor***: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a case report of the endodontic management of a maxillary first molar with the special palatal root canal morphology. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC. (1) Classification: Grade B; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The reviewer#00569747 thinks the designation of tooth 26 should be according to the FDI and reported as tooth 2.6. The main goal of this treatment is to prevent apical periodontitis and promote its healing. Better to use the word pathosis than periodontitis. (3) Format: There are 3 figures and 1 table. A total of 36 references are cited, including 8 references published in the last 3 years. There is no self-citation. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B. The language editing certificate was provided by SNAS. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors submitted this manuscript as a review so the Signed Informed Consent Form and the CARE Checklist–2016 were not provided. The authors signed the Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and the Copyright License Agreement. No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. The study was supported by a grant from the National Natural Science Foundation of China and Beijing Natural Science Foundation. A similar case report was previously published in the WJCC 2019 and the authors cited it (ref. 33). 5 Issues raised: (1) The title is too long, and it should be no more than 12 words; (2) The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s); (3) The "Article Highlights" section is missing. Please add the "Article Highlights" section at the end of the main text. 6 Re-Review: Not required. 7 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.（Han Zhang）

Question1. Academic norms and rules: The authors submitted this manuscript as a review so the Signed Informed Consent Form and the CARE Checklist–2016 were not provided.

Answer: Signed Informed Consent Form and the CARE Checklist–2016 were provided and uploaded.

Question2. The title is too long, and it should be no more than 12 words;

Answer: I have changed the title and there were no more than 12 words in the title.

Question3. The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s);

Answer: I have provided and uploaded the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s) from the National Natural Science Foundation of China and Beijing Natural Science Foundation.

Question4. The "Article Highlights" section is missing. Please add the "Article Highlights" section at the end of the main text.

Answer: I have added the "Article Highlights" in the last paragraph of the discussion in the manuscript.

(2) *Editorial Office Director*: I have checked the comments written by the science editor. The authors need to provide the ethical documents required for Case Report. The "Article Highlights" section is not applicable for a case report.

Question1. The authors need to provide the ethical documents required for Case Report.

A: I have provided and uploaded the ethical approval document as supplementary material.

Question2. The "Article Highlights" section is not applicable for a case report.

A: I have added the "Article Highlights" in the last paragraph of the discussion in the manuscript.

(3) *Company Editor-in-Chief*: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before its final acceptance, the author(s) must provide the Chinese version of the ethical approval document. The title of the manuscript is too long and must be shortened to meet the requirement of the journal (Title: The title should be no more than 12 words).

Question1. Before its final acceptance, the author(s) must provide the Chinese version of the ethical approval document.

Answer: I have provided and uploaded the Chinese version of the ethical approval document as supplementary material.

Question2. The title of the manuscript is too long and must be shortened to meet the requirement of the journal (Title: The title should be no more than 12 words).

Answer: I have changed the title and there were no more than 12 words in the title.