

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 54562

Title: Retrievable puncture anchor traction method for endoscopic ultrasound-guided gastroenterostomy: A porcine study

Reviewer's code: 03646821

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-03-03

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-03-03 16:25

Reviewer performed review: 2020-03-09 16:21

Review time: 5 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please have a native English speaker with a science background review the grammar in this manuscript. See suggestions in the returned manuscript. These suggestions are not inclusive statements such as, “Therefore the RPAT method for EUS-GE showed a minimally invasive treatment modality” make no sense. Perhaps you mean, “facilitate” or “have the potential to improve the safety and success of EUS-GE.”

1. From a procedural perspective, working in a unit that has performed > 70 EUS-GE and placed over 300 LAMS for a variety of GI anastomoses and drainage procedures, the reviewer appreciates how this RPAT may be beneficial to endoscopists in the learning phase of performing these procedures. However, can the authors comment on the possibility of acute angulation and kinking of the duodenum/jejunum making the procedure potentially more difficult/less safe? This kinking/angulation is a consequence of the device’s small footprint.

2. The authors should focus less about complete fusion of the stomach and small bowel at 4 weeks. This is more of an issue of successful LAMS placement than improvement in the success of LAMS placement with or without the RPAT device. The reviewer suggests comparing your study with other animal LAMS studies without the RPAT.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 54562

Title: Retrievable puncture anchor traction method for endoscopic ultrasound-guided gastroenterostomy: A porcine study

Reviewer's code: 00047664

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Chief Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-03-03

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-03-03 07:57

Reviewer performed review: 2020-03-10 06:41

Review time: 6 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have read your interesting article. Although I recommend carefully checking manuscript again, the article has sufficient novelty to be published.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 54562

Title: Retrievable puncture anchor traction method for endoscopic ultrasound-guided gastroenterostomy: A porcine study

Reviewer's code: 01438557

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-03-03

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-03-04 00:18

Reviewer performed review: 2020-03-15 08:37

Review time: 11 Days and 8 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper describes the retrievable puncture anchor traction method for endoscopic ultrasound-guided gastroenterostomy. I enjoyed reading this paper. I think the authors discussed precisely and their interesting results will provide useful information for gastroenterologists. However, there are some problems to be resolved. <Comments> 1. In “Operative procedure”, the authors described “A longitudinal (linear) ultrasound endoscope (EG-3830-UT; Pentax Japan) was entered the stomach, then located the small intestine and determined the puncture area (ie, the closest area between the intestinal and the stomach), marking the puncture area”. However this technique was endoscopic ultrasound-guided gastroenterostomy. Therefore, it is not necessary to insert an echoendoscope into the small intestine. Please confirm. 2. In “Operative procedure”, the authors described “Next, the needle was removed, and the small bowel was punctured and drained using ECE-LAMS (Micro-Tech/Nan Jing Co., Ltd. Nanjing, Jiangsu, PRC) over the guidewire (Figure 2(d1) and 2(d3)). Under EUS guidance, The stent(16mm / 30mm; Micro-Tech / Nanjing Co., Ltd., Nanjing, Jiangsu, PRC) was released into the small intestine until the distal flares were fully open”. I suppose these sentences seemed to be strange. Please check these. (ie, Next, the needle was removed, and ECE-LAMS (16mm / 30mm; Micro-Tech/Nan Jing Co., Ltd. Nanjing, Jiangsu, PRC) was inserted over the guidewire and released into the small intestine until the distal flares were fully open under EUS guidance (Figure 2(d1) and 2(d2)).) 3. In “Operative procedure”, there were some mistakes of names of figures (ie Figure 2(d3), 2(e3)). Please confirm and correct them. 4. There were many tiny mistakes. The authors should ask an English-native speaker to check.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 54562

Title: Retrievable puncture anchor traction method for endoscopic ultrasound-guided gastroenterostomy: A porcine study

Reviewer's code: 03757038

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-03-03

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-03-03 13:20

Reviewer performed review: 2020-03-15 08:41

Review time: 11 Days and 19 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for giving me the opportunity for reading your paper. This manuscript reports a new device for EUS-GE. Although this is an animal experiments, I think this should be cited by many articles after applying it to clinical practice. Comments to the authors: I read with interest this animal lab endoscopic experimental study. The study was well done, written carefully and with didactic images. The topic and findings of this study is of interest to readers. I have some comments as described below. 1. How far do you think EUS-GE can be applied to the intestinal tract by using this anchor device? Please indicate the distance between the stomach and the puncture intestinal tract when the anchor device was placed in this animal experiment. 2. If available, please provide histological evidence of intestinal and stomach adhesion.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 54562

Title: Retrievable puncture anchor traction method for endoscopic ultrasound-guided gastroenterostomy: A porcine study

Reviewer's code: 03026750

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Lecturer

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-03-03

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-03-03 10:27

Reviewer performed review: 2020-03-15 16:49

Review time: 12 Days and 6 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting manuscript discussing an important issue for endosonographers using a novel anchor traction device for EUS-guided gastroenterostomy. However, many grammar and English mistakes need to be corrected.