

Reviewer #1 ID: 01219902

Comments 1: The point of this case report, according to what I understand, is the use of 'temporary implants' in addition to the classic methods, all-on-4 implant and/or zygomatic implant, right? What I want to suggest is to review previously reported similar cases treated with 'temporary implants' and to make a comprehensible table showing the patient (age, sex), disease (entity, disease duration), radiological features, treatment procedures, prognosis (outcome), etc. By this way, the readers can understand the superiority of this method and compare this particular case with other cases.

At the end of Discussion, it lists up 4 items as 'conclusion indication for stage implant loading'. Although this particular case was described in detail in this paper, the readers cannot understand why that kind of generalized statement could be possible just because of this case being given here. This is why I think it necessary to include a review in this paper.

Answer: 1. I have made two tables to illustrate the comparison of representative studies (previous and ours) with severe periodontitis restoration treatments methods and outcomes (in yellow highlight in manuscript).

2. I added a short description of review on severe periodontitis and temporary implants application in my background part. (in yellow highlight)

Comments 2 : #Abstract, background: This is just like a 'case summary'. This should be primarily about general comments about 'staged bone load-bearing therapy' and/or 'temporary implants'.

Answer: We have added a general comment about 'staged bone load-bearing therapy' and/or 'temporary implants' in background part.

Comments 3: There are a few potential grammatical errors throughout the text: ex. - FINAL DIAGNOSIS: periodontitis disease>>> periodontitis -Discussion, last line: Necessary>>Necessity -etc.

Answer: We have gone through all the document and modify all the grammatical errors carefully in yellow highlight.

For editor:

Within 24hrs revision, we updated all the references with PMID and DOI numbers. Apart from this, we cited all the references by Arabic numerals in square brackets and superscripted in the manuscript.

Reviewer#2, ID: 03563654

Comments 1: How is the follow-up of patient?

Answer: 1. We have already added the 7th subsequent visit content in the manuscript. (in yellow highlight)

2. We have added Figure 8(abc) in the figure list and manuscript. This is direct instructions for the outcomes of follow-up.

Totally, the patient was extremely satisfied about her implantation surgery and do appreciate about our treatments.

Comments 2:(<https://doi.org/10.1111/tbj.13174>) and (DOI: 10.21802/gmj.2019.2.11)
I suggest both of these uptodate studies for the references.

Answer: We have gone through these two references, but it is tough to cite them in my manuscript. Besides, for a case report, our manuscript' s references are enough and proper. Thus, we decide not to use them.