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**Abstract**

**AIM:** To evaluate the feasibility, safety, and effectiveness of consecutive laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) plus splenectomy (LS) for liver cirrhosis patients.

**METHODS:** From 2003 to 2013, 17 (Group 1) patients with liver cirrhosis complicated by hypersplenism and symptomatic gallstones were treated with combined LC plus LS, while 58 (Group 2) patients with liver cirrhosis and hypersplenism received LS alone. An additional 14 (Group 3) patients who received traditional open procedures during the same period were included as controls. Data were retrospectively collected and reviewed in regard to demographic characteristics and preoperative, intraoperative and postoperative features. Differences between Group 1, Group 2, and Group 3 were assessed by statistical analyses.

**RESULTS:** The three groups showed no significant differences in the demographic characteristics or preoperative status. However, the patients treated with LC and LS required significantly longer operative time, shorter postoperative stay as well as shorter time of return to first oral intake, and suffered less intraoperative blood loss as well as fewer postoperative surgical infections than the patients treated with traditional open procedures (Group 1 *vs* Group 3, all *p* < 0.05). The patients treated with LC and LS showed no significant differences in the intraoperative and postoperative variables from those treated with LS alone (Group 1 *vs* Group 2). All patients showed significant improvements in the haematological responses (preoperative period *vs* postoperative period, all *p* < 0.05). None of the patients treated with LC and LS presented with any gallstone-associated symptoms following discharge, while the patients treated with the traditional open procedures expressed complaints of discomfort related to their surgical incisions.

**CONCLUSION:** Consecutive LC and LS is the appropriate treatment option for liver cirrhosis patients with gallstones and hypersplenism, especially for those with Child-Pugh A and B.

© 2013 Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited. All rights reserved.
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**Core tip:** Cholelithiasis occurs more frequently in liver cirrhosis patients with hypersplenism than in the general population. The recent significant advances in minimally invasive surgery have aroused surgeons’ interest in performing combined laparoscopic procedures. Here, we report our experience with concomitant laparoscopic splenectomy and cholecystectomy in liver cirrhosis patients. This is the first reported case series of its kind and provides useful clinical information to physicians facing the challenge of treating cirrhosis patients who are in need of surgical attention to address coexisting abdominal diseases.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The incidence of gallbladder stones in liver cirrhosis patients is two-fold higher than the estimates for the general population[[1](#_ENREF_1),[2](#_ENREF_2)]. Underlying risk factors of this co-morbidity are associated with dysfunction of gallbladder motility and emptying, hypersplenism, increased haemolysis, and enhanced circulating oestrogen levels[[1](#_ENREF_1),[3](#_ENREF_3)]. Considering the significant intraoperative blood loss that accompanies portal hypertension, liver cirrhosis was classified as a contraindication to laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) in the early 1990s[[4](#_ENREF_4)].

Since the first study of LC for patients with liver cirrhosis was reported in 1993[[5](#_ENREF_5)], extensive clinical data pertaining to this topic have been included in the publicly available literature; in general, these data have demonstrated that LC can be performed safely in liver cirrhosis patients[[6-9](#_ENREF_6)]. On the other hand, hypersplenism resulting in thrombocytopenia and leukopenia is a common complication observed in patients with liver cirrhosis complicated by portal hypertension[[10](#_ENREF_10)]. Splenectomy should be considered for these patients, to avoid fatal bleeding. Several recent studies have demonstrated the feasibility, safety, and effectiveness of laparoscopic splenectomy (LS) for patients with hypersplenism secondary to liver cirrhosis, and the results of short- and long-term outcomes attained with this procedure are encouraging[[11-13](#_ENREF_11)].

To help maximize the safety of consecutive gallbladder and spleen resections using the conventional open procedures, a wide upper abdominal incision is required. Technical advances in laparoscopic surgery have prompted surgeons to develop combination approaches for simultaneous treatment of coexisting abdominal diseases[[14-17](#_ENREF_14)]. However, few studies have systematically assessed the risks and benefits of LC plus LS for treating liver cirrhosis patients, which aroused our interest in performing the study described herein.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

***Patients***

Between 2003 and 2013, the procedure of concomitant cholecystectomy and splenectomy was performed on 51 liver cirrhosis patients with hypersplenism and/or portal hypertension as well as gallbladder stones at the Department of Hepatopancreatobiliary Surgery in the west China hospital of Sichuan University. Among them, 19 patients received the laparoscopic procedure while the remaining 32 were treated with the open procedure. Twenty of the total patients who underwent other surgeries (radiofrequency ablation for hepatocarcinoma, herniorraphy for hernia, liver resection for hepatocarcinoma, or biliary duct exploration) were excluded from analysis. Therefore, 17 of the patients who received LC and LS were included as Group 1, while 14 of the patients who received open cholecystectomy and splenectomy were included as Group 3. An additional 58 liver cirrhosis patients with hypersplenism and/or portal hypertension who had received LS only between 2003 and 2013 were included as Group 2. The inclusion and exclusion criteria are detailed in Figure 1. Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants, and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Sichuan University.

***Diagnoses and indications***

Diagnosis was made according to the patient’s clinical history (hepatitis B or C, schistosome infection, and alcohol abuse), results from blood tests (thrombocytopenia, leukopenia or/and anaemia), computed tomography (CT) scans, B-ultrasound examinations (enlarged spleen, abnormal hepatic shape, size and edges with/without gastroesophageal varices, and gallstones) and postoperative pathological examinations (stages on a four-point Metavir scoring scale[[18](#_ENREF_18)]: F0, no fibrosis; F1, portal fibrosis without septa; F2, portal fibrosis and few septa; F3, numerous septa without cirrhosis; F4, cirrhosis). Indications for splenectomy were as follows: (1) severe thrombocytopenia due to hypersplenism with a platelet count of < 30 × 109/L and/or a white blood cell count of < 3 × 109/L; (2) being prone to oesophageal variceal haemorrhage as a result of severe portal hypertension. Cholecystectomy was taken into consideration when the diagnosis of symptomatic cholelithiasis was established on the basis of clinical presentations and confirmed by CT scans or B-ultrasound examinations.

***Perioperative surveillance***

Craniocaudal splenic length was measured preoperatively using CT scanning. B-ultrasound examinations were selectively applied in addition to the CT scan to detect gallbladder stones. The Child-Pugh class and the American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) classification systems were applied respectively for evaluating the liver reserve function and the anaesthetic risk preoperatively. Laboratory data, including peripheral blood counts (haemoglobin, leukocytes, platelets, and prothrombin time), total bilirubin (TBil), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), and albumin (ALB) concentrations were obtained before surgery and on postoperative days (POD) 1, 3, and 5. Seven days of postoperative surveillance were performed for portal or splenic vein thrombosis (PSVT), biliary and pancreatic leakage (all by B-ultrasound; following detection of PSVT with B-ultrasound, CT scanning was performed to make a definite diagnosis), and fluid drainage. After discharge, patients were recommended to undergo CT scanning once a month for the following 3 mo to monitor PSVT.

***Surgical techniques***

All of the operations were performed by a single team with a chief physician who is expert at LS[[19](#_ENREF_19)]. First, the LC procedure was carried out in a three-trocar manner with the patient in the supine position and with the operation table tilted to the left. After establishment of pneumoperitoneum with a pressure of 14 mmHg CO2, the first 10 mm trocar for laparoscopy was inserted in the lower umbilicus. A 12 mm subxiphoid trocar and a 5 mm right subcostal margin trocar were then added for resections of the gallbladder and a 1 cm × 1 cm piece of hepatic tissue. Subsequently, the patients were placed in a right semi-decubitus position with the left side elevated 45–60 ° and with the operating table slightly tilted to the reverse Trendelenburg position. Two other trocars were then placed at the left mid-clavicular line at 12 mm below the inferior margin of the spleen and at the left axillary line at 5 mm below the inferior pole of the spleen. Splenic attachments and ligaments were dissected using an ultrasonic dissector or the LigaSureTM Vessel Sealing System (Covidien, Mansfield, MS, United States) in the order of splenogastric ligament, splenic flexure attachment, splenorenal ligament, and splenophrenic ligament. After the spleen was released from the attachments and ligaments, an endoscopic linear vascular stapler was applied to transect the splenic hilar pedicles. The mobilized spleen was placed into a retrieval bag, mechanically morcellated with forceps, and retracted from the 12 mm trocar site. A suction drain was placed in the splenic fossa, while another one was placed at the site of Calot’s triangle.

For the traditional open procedure, left and right subcostal incisions were required. The details of the open splenectomy and the single LS were described previously[[11](#_ENREF_11)]; the open cholecystectomy was performed in the anterograde or retrograde manner.

***Statistical analysis***

Patients’ complete medical records were retrospectively collected and reviewed, including demographic characteristics and information related to the preoperative (ASA classification, Child-Pugh class, splenic size, complete blood count, liver function index, and aetiology of cirrhosis), intraoperative (conversion rate, operative time, transfusion rate, estimated blood loss, and additional operations) and postoperative (postoperative stay, time to the first oral intake, Child-Pugh class, histologic fibrosis stage, complete blood count, liver function index, and short-term complications) periods.

Continuous numerical variables are expressed as mean ± SD or median and interquartile, while categorical data are presented as number of cases and percentage. All statistical analyses were carried out by the SPSS statistical software package (version 16.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States) and included the Student’s *t,* nonparametric Mann-Whitney *U*, *2*, and Fisher’s exact tests, with rejection level for the null hypothesis set at *p*-value of < 0.05.

**RESULTS**

As presented in Table 1, comparisons of Group 1 with Group 2 and Group 3 revealed no significant differences in terms of demographic characteristics (sex and age), distributions of ASA classification and Child-Pugh class, as well as diagnoses, splenic size, and preoperative laboratory data. The entire study population consisted of 89 patients (44 males and 45 females; mean age: 52.7 ± 13.8 years), 17 of who were intended to receive LC plus LS. Preoperative evaluations of anaesthetic risk and liver reserve function showed that there were 14 (15.7%), 70 (78.7%) and 5 (5.6%) patients classified as ASA II, III and IV, while 54 (60.7%), 33 (37.1%) and 2 (2.2%) patients fell into to Child-Pugh A, B and C categories. Chronic hepatitis B or C (79 patients, 88.8%) was the leading cause of liver cirrhosis, while excessive alcohol consumption (5 patients, 5.6%) and schistosomiasis (5 patients, 5.6%) also contributed to liver damage in the study population.

Table 2 details the intraoperative information. The concomitant LC and LS procedure was successfully performed in 18 patients in Group 1, with a conversion rate of 5.9%. The single case in Group 1 that had to be converted to the open procedure was mainly due to bleeding from the splenic hilar vessels when transecting the splenic pedicel by the endoscopic linear vascular stapler in the narrow intra-abdominal space. For the same reason, the conversion rate in Group 2, comparable to that in Group 1, was 5.2%, suggesting that LC did not increase the rate when performing the laparoscopic combined surgery. The operative time, transfusion rate, and estimated blood loss were similar between Group 1 and Group 2. Compared with Group 3, patients in Group 1 required longer operative time (221.2 ± 44.8 min *vs* 166.4 ± 64.5 min*,* *p* = 0.009) and suffered less intraoperative blood loss (225.9 ± 195.7 mL *vs* 421.4 ± 234.3 mL, *p* = 0.017), while the transfusion rate was comparable between these two groups. Liver biopsy was performed in all patients for postoperative pathological examinations.

The postoperative information is presented in Table 3. Comparisons of Group 1 and Group 2 showed differences in terms of postoperative stay, time to first oral intake, and distribution of postoperative Child-Pugh class. Comparing Group 1 with Group 3, the length of postoperative stay was shorter (8.7 ± 2.6 days *vs* 11.3 ± 2.9 d, *p* = 0.013) as was the time of return to the first oral intake (2.8 ± 1.4 d *vs* 4.3 ± 1.1 d, *p* = 0.004); a similar difference in the postoperative Child-Pugh class distribution was observed. Pathological results of liver biopsies taken during splenectomy indicated that all of the histological fibrosis stages belonged to F3 (numerous septa without cirrhosis) or F4 (cirrhosis), and no significant difference regarding the stage distribution in the three groups was detected.

In regard to short-term surgical complications, given that one patient may suffer more than one complication the events were recorded numerically instead of as a complication rate. Fortunately, no one suffered common bile duct injury or bile leakage. The detailed data of other complications are presented in Table 3. Although the complication rate was not calculated, a trend was observed towards more pulmonary and incision infections occurring in the patients who received open surgery. All of the complications were resolved by conservative treatments, except the two cases of splenic fossa collections and the five cases (one in Group 1, three in Group 2, and one in Group 3) of the seven pleural effusions which required punctured drainage guided by B-ultrasound, and the two patients in Group 2 who suffered postoperative bleeding that required emergency laparotomy and blood transfusions.

Table 4 shows the dynamic changes of haematological and liver function parameters from the perioperative period. No obvious perioperative changes were observed for haemoglobin, AST, ALT and TBil levels. The platelet counts, however, gradually ascended to the normal range on POD 5 for all groups. The changes of the leukocyte counts rapidly ascended at first and then gradually descended to the normal range. A similar trend was observed for ALB, but the levels reached on POD 5 were slightly lower than the normal range for all groups.

None of the patients in Group 1 presented any gallstone-associated symptoms following discharge, while the patients in Group 3 expressed complaints of discomfort related to their surgical incisions.

**DISCUSSION**

LC application was restricted by several contraindications, including liver cirrhosis, according to the consensus statement issued by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) in 1993[[20](#_ENREF_20)]. Since the first report of LC was published by Yerdel *et al*[[5](#_ENREF_5)] in 1993, the literature pertaining to this procedure as a treatment for liver cirrhosis patients has grown substantially. In general, the studies have indicated that early liver cirrhosis patients with symptomatic gallstones could undergo LC safely and without any significant increase in morbidity and mortality; moreover, performing LC in patients with advanced cirrhosis should be seriously considered[[3](#_ENREF_3),[7](#_ENREF_7),[21-24](#_ENREF_21)]. Additionally, the past decade has seen substantial progress in the understanding of the risks and benefits of LS for patients with hypersplenism secondary to liver cirrhosis, with studies providing encouraging surgical outcomes of this mini-invasive procedure[[11](#_ENREF_11),[25](#_ENREF_25)]. As surgeons’ experience in applying LS increased so did the interest in using this procedure in a consecutive approach to cope with the challenging conditions of coexisting abdominal diseases.

The first study regarding concomitant LC and LS was reported in 1994[[26](#_ENREF_26)]. Since then only a few additional studies have been reported in the literature (Table 5), and almost all have enrolled only a few patients with benign haematological disorders. The current study analysed 17 liver cirrhosis patients with gallstones who received LC plus LS and compared the surgical outcomes between laparoscopic and open procedures. Similar to the other studies that have compared these two procedures, the conclusion was drawn that patients benefited from the mini-invasive surgery in terms of shorter postoperative stay, less intraoperative blood loss, faster return to oral intake, better cosmetic outcome, and fewer surgical infections.

Cirrhotic patients are often treated with operative interventions when they suffer from concomitant late stage gallbladder disease, which had led to severe chronic cholecystitis. Consequently, in addition to the risks associated with liver cirrhosis itself, the woody and friable gallbladder tissue has represented a challenge to surgeons during LC. Bearing in mind that the periumbilical collaterals and the falciform ligament might be sources of bleeding in these patients[[27-29](#_ENREF_27)], the trocar for laparoscopy is recommended to be placed in the lower umbilicus. Furthermore, according to our clinical experience, ultrasonic shears are recommended for division to avoid bleeding from dilated tortuous veins around the gallbladder. Nonetheless, it is dangerous and difficult to resect gallbladder from the cirrhotic liver bed, which is easily injured and bleeds profusely. In this case, laparoscopic subtotal cholecystectomy (LSC), with the posterior wall of gallbladder left on the cirrhotic liver bed, may be the better treatment choice[[9](#_ENREF_9),[27](#_ENREF_27),[28](#_ENREF_28),[30](#_ENREF_30)]. The major disadvantage of LSC is the high rate (38.1%-94.1%) of bile leakage from the closed stump[[27](#_ENREF_27)]. None of the patients in the current study received LSC or suffered bile leakage, which may be attributed to appropriate patient selection and sufficient preoperative assessment.

In the current study, the LS procedure was performed consecutive to the LC procedure during the same operative period. While some studies support performing the procedures in this order, others do not[[14-16](#_ENREF_14),[26](#_ENREF_26),[31-34](#_ENREF_31)]; the main advantage of performing the LC procedure first is that it has a relatively low conversion rate. Indeed, in our case series one patient required conversion during the LS procedure and a left subcostal incision was required. In addition, four patients (one in Group 1 and three in Group 2) had to be converted to the open procedures, due to bleeding from the splenic pedicel during LS. The overall conversion rate of 5.3% is in line with that reported previously[[35](#_ENREF_35)]. It has also been reported that preoperative splenic artery embolization (SAE) could reduce operative time, intraoperative blood loss, and the need for transfusion during LS[[36](#_ENREF_36),[37](#_ENREF_37)]; however, because of serious complications, such as severe pain, pancreatitis, atelectasis and microcoil migration, the application of this approach before LS remains controversial[[38](#_ENREF_38),[39](#_ENREF_39)]. None of the patients in the current study received preoperative SAE.

A variety of studies have focused on the development of PSVT after splenectomy, but the true incidence remains uncertain[[40](#_ENREF_40)]. This complication is described as an uncommon but fatal occurrence and has been reported to result from some combination of hypercoagulability, platelet activation, disturbance and activation of the endothelium, and altered lipid profiles[[41](#_ENREF_41)]. It is difficult to make early clinical diagnoses of PSVT because of the non-specific symptom profile, which includes fever, abdominal pain and vomiting[[42-44](#_ENREF_42)], and potential asymptomatic profile[[45](#_ENREF_45)]. Encouragingly, hidden PSVT may be detected by Doppler ultrasound or CT scans. The first report of PSVT after LC plus LS was published in 2003[[32](#_ENREF_32)], and in the current study seven patients (7.9%) suffered postoperative PSVT. The rate of PSVT was higher in Group 1 (11.8%) than in Group 2 (6.9%) and Group 3 (7.1%), but the small sample size precluded our ability to determine whether concomitant LC and LS was a significant risk factor of this complication. In view of the potentially deadly outcome of PSVT, B-ultrasound was performed to monitor the development of thrombosis until POD 7, and CT scanning was performed once a month for 3 months after discharge. Once a PSVT diagnosis was established, early anticoagulation therapy was initiated.

During laparoscopic procedures, a 12-14 mmHg CO2pneumoperitoneum is required for the establishment of a sufficient operative field[[46](#_ENREF_46)]. This method has been reported to lead to ischemia-reperfusion injury to the liver[[47](#_ENREF_47)], which may further damage the already impaired liver function. Some studies have demonstrated that pneumoperitoneum can cause postoperative increases in AST, ALT, bilirubin and prothrombin time[[48-50](#_ENREF_48)], but these results have not been consistent[[11](#_ENREF_11),[51](#_ENREF_51)]. In the current study, a 14 mmHg CO2 pneumoperitoneum did not appear to result in significant postoperative changes in AST, ALT, or bilirubin; the reasons for this phenomenon are unknown. Therefore, different pressures of pneumoperitoneum should be used when performing laparoscopic surgeries to assess their impacts on liver function in the future studies.

Admittedly, our results could be affected by several potential biases, because this study was neither blinded nor randomized and the sample size was small. However, the preoperative information among the three groups was comparable (Table 1), which could balance the potential biases to some extent. A large-volume, prospective, and randomized study is necessary to confirm our findings.

In summary, based on this comparative study, consecutive LC and LS is not only feasible, safe and effective, but also a superior choice for liver cirrhosis patients with gallstones and hypersplenism, especially for those patients classified as Child-Pugh A and B.

**COMMENTS**

***Background***

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) was once contraindicated for liver cirrhosis patients due to well-developed collateral vessels and increased risk of bleeding, and the same was true for laparoscopic splenectomy (LS). However, the technical improvements in laparoscopic technique and accumulation of surgeons’ experience have led clinicians to question this concept. An increasing number of studies have demonstrated the efficacy and safety of laparoscopic surgery for treating gallstones or hypersplenism in the patient population with liver cirrhosis. On the other hand, the incidence of gallbladder stones in liver cirrhosis patients has been estimated as two-fold higher than that in the general population. It is necessary to determine the efficacy and safety of consecutive resections of gallbladder and spleen by laparoscopy for liver cirrhosis patients.

***Research frontiers***

To date, few comparable studies of LS plus LC for treating liver cirrhosis patients with gallstones and hypersplenism/portal hypertension have been reported. In the era of minimally invasive surgery, patients prefer to receive laparoscopic operations if possible. In the current study, we demonstrated the feasibility, safety, and effectiveness of consecutive LC and LS for treating liver cirrhosis patients with gallstones and hypersplenism.

***Innovations and breakthroughs***

To our knowledge, this is the first report of laparoscopic treatment of hypersplenism/portal hypertension combined with gallstones for liver cirrhotic patients. The results represent good news to liver cirrhosis patients who are in need of surgical attention to address coexisting abdominal diseases.

***Applications***

This is the first case series of LS plus LC treatment in liver cirrhosis patients and will add value to the surgical literature. This study revealed that LS plus LC may be a better treatment option for liver cirrhosis patients; however, long-term results need to be confirmed in a future study.

***Terminology***

Hypersplenism is a related hematologic syndrome leading to thrombocytopenia, neutropenia, or both, and frequently occurs in patients with liver cirrhosis due to portal hypertension. Higher incidence of gallstones have been reported in the population of patients with liver cirrhosis, and their development is associated with dysfunction of gallbladder motility and emptying, hypersplenism, increased haemolysis, and enhanced circulating oestrogen levels.

***Peer review***

This is an interesting novel comparative analysis of the perioperative outcomes of consecutive laparoscopic and open surgeries for treating liver cirrhosis patients with hypersplenism and gallstones.
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**Figure 1 Inclusion and exclusion criteria for study participation.** LC: laparoscopic cholecystectomy; LS: laparoscopic splenectomy; OC: open cholecystectomy; OS: open splenectomy; RA: radiofrequency ablation.