

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 54826

Title: Relevance on the diagnosis of malignant lymphoma of the salivary gland

Reviewer's code: 02445870

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Lecturer

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Mexico

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-02-20

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-02-20 18:25

Reviewer performed review: 2020-02-24 20:22

Review time: 4 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

The manuscript by Zhang X., et al. is clear, concise and well described synthesis on the rather peculiar subject of lymphoma of the salivary gland. I have only a minor suggestion: The title might indicate that “Research Progress” leads to the idea that a major content of this review is in research when it does not describe recent advances either in diagnosis or in therapeutics. As the authors point out in the Introduction I think that the most relevant issue rests on the fact that “complexity of the diagnostic process can lead to a misdiagnosis or a delayed diagnosis” (P3,p3,L3-4). Thus, I think that the title could include something like “relevance on the diagnosis of ...” rather than “Research Progress”.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 54826

Title: Relevance on the diagnosis of malignant lymphoma of the salivary gland

Reviewer's code: 01206373

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-02-20

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Zhou Tang (Quit in 2020)

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-03-29 03:27

Reviewer performed review: 2020-04-01 07:34

Review time: 3 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a review article on malignant lymphoma of the salivary gland. It is written extensively and simply so that non-oncologists can easily understand. It is informative and will give useful information to readers. However, there are some problems that the authors should clarify and revise as mentioned in the comments below.

1. Introduction, page 6, 3rd paragraph, and Table 1 A total of 16 reports are shown in Table 1. Are they all of reports discovered from PubMed or a part of them? Please clarify it. If they are a part, please show the reason why they were selected.

2. Treatment and prognosis, page 12 As stated in this section, radiation therapy is frequently applied for the treatment of malignant lymphoma. I suggest adding the standard radiation dose used for this disease to the text.

3. Table 1 There are no items in this table. Please give them.