

Dear Editor in Chief,

We are thankful for accepting our invited manuscript in World Journal of Clinical Oncology. Herein a revised version of our manuscript submitted to your journal. In reply to the valuable comments of the editor and the reviewers and in provision of the modified manuscript, I am writing to you this letter providing the detailed changes that have been entailed in the revised manuscript for your kind consideration, including revised figures and updated references.

Reply to Editor:

We are thankful for the valuable comments that aim for the improvement and scientific enrichment of our paper. All the comments have been taken in consideration and revised thoroughly. The comments and inquires are shown in **Bold**. We have enclosed a revised manuscript with all the corrections fulfilled and highlighted in **red**, including;

Reply to Reviewer #1:

Reviewer #1: According to my knowledge, it is a novel paper in its field opening new horizons for further evidence. In addition, the object as well as the results are appropriately discussed in the context of previous literature explaining the importance of the manuscript in its field. Authors succeed to present their data in a clear way adding information to the existing literature. Therefore, I have no corrections or further work to propose for the improvement of the manuscript and therefore it can be published unaltered.

In response to the reviewer's comment, we are extremely thankful for your valuable opinion. Hope we always meet your expectations and standards

Reply to Editorial Office's comments:

1) Science Editor: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a mini-review of the natural killer cells in triple negative breast cancer. The topic is NOT within the scope of the AIC. (1) Classification: Grade B; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: This is a novel paper in its field opening new horizons for further evidence. Authors succeed to present their data in clear way adding information to the existing literature; and (3) Format: There are 1 table and 4 figures. A total of 62 references are cited, including 12 references published in the last 3 years. There are no self-citations. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B. A language editing certificate issued by a native English speaker was provided. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement. No academic misconduct was found in the CrossCheck detection and Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an invited manuscript. No financial support was obtained for the study. The topic has not previously been published in the AIC. 5 Issues raised:

(1) The “Author Contributions” section is missing. Please provide the author contributions

In response to the editor's comment, the author contributions section has been added to the manuscript page 22, lines 619-621.

(2) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor

In response to the editor's comment, the original PowerPoint figures has been prepared, arranged and sent to the editorial office as it could not be uploaded to the submission system.

(3) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout.

In response to the editor's comment, PMID, DOI numbers and all authors list have been listed in the reference list in the revised manuscript pages 17-22, lines 385-614.

Thank you very much

Hope the revised manuscript finds your acceptance

Best Regards,

Rana Ahmed Youness, PhD

Pharmaceutical Biology Department, Faculty of Pharmacy and Biotechnology, German University in Cairo, Cairo, Egypt

Tel: +202 27589990

Mobile: +201272222695

E-mail: rana.ahmed-youness@guc.edu.eg; rana.youness21@gmail.com