

Reviewer #1

In this invited manuscript, the authors aimed to provide guidelines for endoscopists to the prevention or control program for the 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in a children's digestive endoscopy center. In general, this is a well-written manuscript on an actual topic for establish a prevention program for the 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in a children's digestive endoscopy center. Therefore, it deserve to accept for publication.

Response: We appreciate the reviewer's positive comments.

Reviewer #2

In the manuscript, the authors need to clarify that guideline or practice described in the manuscript is to prevent the transmission of the coronavirus to healthcare workers and other patients, such as in the abstract and in the introduction. The authors need to state in the manuscript the following items:

1) the entities or persons who approved the guideline, such as the chief of clinical service, and the chief of the hospital;

Response: Thank you for the valuable comments. We included it in the revised manuscript.

2) the date that the guideline was implemented;

Response: Thank you. We included the date in the revised version.

3) the hospitals that used the guideline, and

Response: Thank you. As we know, except for our hospital in Shenzhen area, this program was also implemented in Shenzhen Baoan Maternal and Child Health Hospital.

4) the metrics used to evaluate the effectiveness of the prevention program.

Response: Thank you for the constructive comments. We use the case detection rate and the nosocomial infection rate as the metrics to evaluate the effectiveness of the

program, which were included in the revised version. We will report the results in the following research article.

5) The authors should report in the manuscript the number of patients processed with the guideline, and their outcomes based on the algorithm in the figure.

Response: Thank you for your advice. This is a program for prevention the 2019-nCoV which is a novel epidemic. We have a plan to report the outcomes of the patients according to the algorithm in the figure in future research article as a retrospective study.

6) The authors can comment whether N95 mask was needed for the endoscopist when vacuum aspiration was used during the endoscopy.

Response: Thanks. A standard isolation ward is not a negative pressure ward. An isolation ward is in the application scope of second-level protection, and N95 or higher level medical protective mask is one of the requirements of second-level protective measurement.

7) The authors should provide the internet address for references 11 through 16.

Response: Thank you for the comments. The address were included in the revised manuscript.

8) The authors should review the use of words in the manuscript, such as susceptible (vulnerable) and security (safety).

Response: We appreciate the reviewer's valuable comments. We reviewed the manuscript carefully and modified the words according to the comments.