

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 55064

**Title:** Rare primary lymphoepithelioma-like carcinoma of the renal pelvis

**Reviewer's code:** 01214546

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Chief Doctor, Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-02-28

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-02-29 09:13

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-03-06 07:19

**Review time:** 5 Days and 22 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Reviewer's comments    Lymphoepithelioma-like carcinoma (LELC) of the renal pelvis is extremely rare. In this article, the authors have reported a new case of LELC of the renal pelvis. The pathological stage of the tumor was pT3N0M0, and the patient was treated with surgical resection alone without adjuvant radiotherapy or chemotherapy; there has been no evidence of recurrence of the disease during the >7-year follow-up after surgery. In my view, the authors' work adds value to the literature and provides useful insights into this topic. However, I have the following concerns regarding the contents of the manuscript:    Abstract 1. Page 3, line 50: "which appears to have been the right decision" This expression is subjective and unsuitable for scientific articles. Please modify the phrase.    TO THE EDITOR 1. Page 4, line 71: "To the best our knowledge, clinicians ... rare disease" This statement is correct, but I think it is common sense for clinicians and can be assumed they do this without needing to be stated. Moreover, in the last paragraph of the text, the authors have encouraged urologists to record and report rare cases. Therefore, this statement should be deleted.    2. Page 5, line 100: "the pathological stage was pT3N0M0, which would usually require chemo- or radiotherapy" There are no guidelines regarding the treatment of LELC of the renal pelvis because of the rarity of the disease. Some studies, including the authors' previous work, have concluded that patients with LELC of the renal pelvis should undergo radical nephroureterectomy rather than radical nephrectomy as the treatment of choice. In addition, according to other studies, LELC of the bladder has been successfully treated with primary or adjuvant chemotherapy; this suggests that chemotherapy may play an important role in the management of LELC of the renal pelvis. However, to my knowledge, the benefit of postoperative radiotherapy in patients with pT3N0M0 LELC of the renal pelvis has not yet been clearly defined. Please modify the statement for clarity.



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

*Google Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

*BPG Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No