

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 55116

Title: Treatment of a rotator cuff tear combined with iatrogenic glenoid fracture and shoulder instability after manipulation of a frozen shoulder: a rare case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 05241274

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Manuscript submission date: 2020-03-02

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-07-24 03:20

Reviewer performed review: 2020-07-24 03:23

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting and meaningful study, and I recommend accept.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 55116

Title: Treatment of a rotator cuff tear combined with iatrogenic glenoid fracture and shoulder instability after manipulation of a frozen shoulder: a rare case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 00735715

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Manuscript submission date: 2020-03-02

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-07-24 03:03

Reviewer performed review: 2020-07-26 05:31

Review time: 2 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [☐] Yes [☒] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors describe a case of frozen shoulder with rotator cuff injury. During manipulation and arthroscopic release, she developed a fracture of the inferior glenoid which along with rotator cuff tear repaired arthroscopically. They also highlight the need to identify this complication during the procedure by specifically looking for it. The authors have written the article nicely. My suggestion would be to mark the findings in the figures. It will be more informative if you can include the clinical pictures also. Regards.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 55116

Title: Treatment of a rotator cuff tear combined with iatrogenic glenoid fracture and shoulder instability after manipulation of a frozen shoulder: a rare case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 03515630

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Manuscript submission date: 2020-03-02

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-07-26 06:42

Reviewer performed review: 2020-08-01 08:14

Review time: 6 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [☐] Yes [☒] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thanks a lot for this interesting case report. I recommend some revisions to improve the quality of case report. 1- I think authors should clarify why they chose doing manipulation before anticipated arthroscopy despite the recommendations for postponing it after arthroscopy. They stated that "some surgeons still prefer to perform the manipulation before arthroscopy to facilitate the performance of the arthroscopy " without any reference and evidence. Is this belief an anecdote? Are authors among these surgeons? Is there any evidence supporting this idea? 2- Authors please explain why they decided to surgically fix the fracture, despite the fracture size and succesful report of Manusson in favor of nonsurgical immobilization. 3- I think figure 2A doesnot clearly show the fractue as reported in Figure caption. It should be replaced with more clear image or the fracture line should be shown with an arrow. Some grammar and spelling errors exist which should be addressed throughout the manuscript. For example forms should be changed to from in page 5, line 6.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 55116

Title: Treatment of a rotator cuff tear combined with iatrogenic glenoid fracture and shoulder instability after manipulation of a frozen shoulder: a rare case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 05282550

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Manuscript submission date: 2020-03-02

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-07-28 12:31

Reviewer performed review: 2020-08-01 11:32

Review time: 3 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this case report, the medical records are complete and detailed. The discussion part better explained the significance of this case.