

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 55285

Title: Laparoscopic repair of uterine rupture following successful second vaginal birth after caesarean delivery: A case report

Reviewer's code: 03287044

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-03-18

Reviewer chosen by: Jie Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-03-24 08:35

Reviewer performed review: 2020-04-08 16:29

Review time: 15 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I read with great interest the Manuscript titled “Laparoscopic repair of uterine rupture following successful second vaginal birth after cesarean delivery: a case report” (55285), which falls within the aim of World Journal of Clinical Cases. In my honest opinion, the topic is interesting and the reported case novel enough to attract the readers’ attention. Nevertheless, the authors should clarify some points and improve the discussion citing relevant and novel key articles about the topic. Authors should consider the following recommendations: - Manuscript should be further revised by a native English speaker. - Does this manuscript conform the The CARE Guidelines: Consensus-based Clinical Case Reporting (CARE), available through Enhancing the QUALity and Transparency Of health Research (EQUATOR) network guidelines? It would be mandatory to declare about this element. - I could not find any information regarding the informed consent of the patient. Did the authors obtain informed consent from the patients? Conversely, this point may raise serious concern from the ethical point of view. - I would stress the available pieces of evidence for the assessment and management of uterine scar after cesarean section, especially regarding the ultrasound evaluation of low uterine segment before labour, in order to stratify the risk of uterine rupture and other related consequence (authors may refer to: PMID: 29741973; PMID: 31146610). - I suggest adding few lines to stress the role of hysteroscopy to assess the presence of isthmocele and to manage it, and its relation to subsequent risk of uterine rupture during labour (refer to: PMID: 29410381; PMID: 32008214).