



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stem Cells

Manuscript NO: 55433

Title: PROTEOMIC PROFILING OF VARIOUS HUMAN DENTAL STEM CELLS- A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Reviewer's code: 03813908

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Manuscript submission date: 2020-03-18

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-06-18 03:16

Reviewer performed review: 2020-06-18 08:58

Review time: 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Five different human DSCs have been depicted. Jagadish et. al systematically reviewed the proteomic profiling of 4 of them. They also reviewed the role of niche on the proteomic profile of DSCs. The review was scientifically designed and useful to researchers interested in related area. However, there are some concerns: 1. They should also discuss the fifth kind of DSCs. 2. What is the conclusion of the review. What kind of DSC is the ideal way to approach cell-based tissue design? Why? 3. The language need to be polished.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stem Cells

Manuscript NO: 55433

Title: Proteomic profiling of various human dental stem cells - a systematic review

Reviewer's code: 03813908

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Manuscript submission date: 2020-03-18

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-08-10 01:47

Reviewer performed review: 2020-08-10 08:12

Review time: 6 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors addressed the concerns raised by reviewer.