

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**Manuscript NO:** 55486

**Title:** Gastrointestinal obstruction secondary to enteral nutrition bezoar: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 03478404

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Romania

**Author's Country/Territory:** Australia

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-05-07

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-05-07 14:59

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-05-09 12:00

**Review time:** 1 Day and 21 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

The scientific content of this case report is good, presenting an intestinal obstruction in a female after pancreaticoduodenectomy, under enteral nutrition by tube. The case is interesting and it deserves publication, as it describes a rare complication of enteral feeding. However, there are many aspects that preclude its publication in the present form, which should be corrected.

1. Abstract should be rearranged and re-written. Sentence starting with "Post-operative Enteral Nutrition (EN)..." should be included at the beginning of the Abstract. Then I suggest the case to be presented. Then, the authors could present the results of the literature review, with database names and period of the included search. Then they could present briefly their findings of the literature. References should not be included in the Abstract. Since the Abstract is the first part of a paper to be read, I suggest the authors to emphasize that this is the 2nd case in the literature, appearing after pancreaticoduodenectomy (Whipple procedure).
2. Core Tip does not describe at all the case. Authors should insert it.
3. Introduction. First sentence please replace "are" with "is" (it is about "post-operative enteral nutrition". Please re-number references, as Introduction starts with nr.2 (1st was used in the Abstract). Please correct to "Parenteral" in "Total Parental Nutrition". This does not have anything to do with "parental". The reference the authors mentioned [1 - Luttikhoud J, et al. 2013] was published many years ago and since then, there were also cases published in the reference [10 - Leonello G, et al. 2018]. Please correct, as it is not right.
4. Case Report. Please mention the whole words before abbreviations (CT, MRCP, EUS, ERCP, PET, SBO). Please also shorten the long sentences, make them crispier, in order to be better understood (like divide them in two, remove repeated words and include only essential findings). Again, please write "parenteral" instead of "parental". In fact, the abbreviation TPN could now be used, since it was explained first time. Please also revise the use of punctuation signs (should be colon instead of semicolon etc), including Discussion.
5. Discussion. This paragraph should include a brief discussion of the main aspects of the case with reference

to the literature. Also, the cases described in text (as review of the literature) should be nicely summarized in a table (author, year, patient's characteristics - including diagnosis, type of surgery, type of enteral nutrition, location of obstruction and bezoar characteristics, proposed mechanisms, other therapies, outcome etc). It is not difficult at all, since most cases (12) were also described in the review by Luttikhoud J, et al 2013. Again, please use "Parenteral" instead of Parental or, better, TPN. 6. The place of the Figure 4 is not inserted in the text. 7. Please revise the English language throughout the manuscript, not only grammar (like "an Upper Gastro-Intestinal operations" etc), but also common words (like "paitent", „occasionally", „has ben" etc). Plus misspelled medical terms (like "puupura" „coloniscopic ", „pancreatectomtys", „intissipated" etc). More attention should be paid to details, since apparently the authors are native English speakers. Other comments: 1. Capital letters should not be used in the Title, Abstract, Introduction, Case report and Discussion (like "Enteral", "Nutrition", "Bezoar", "Pancreaticoduodenectomy", "Gastric", "Jejunal", "Right", "Nourishing" etc). 2. Some recent references could be added, like: a. Kitagawa, H., Namikawa, T., Iwabu, J. et al. Bowel obstruction associated with a feeding jejunostomy and its association to weight loss after thoracoscopic esophagectomy. BMC Gastroenterol 19, 104 (2019). b. Rainer F, Prenner G, Binder LP, et al. Case of nasogastric tube dysfunction. Gut. 2019 Feb;68(2):206-262.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**Manuscript NO:** 55486

**Title:** Gastrointestinal obstruction secondary to enteral nutrition bezoar: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 03258759

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** FACC, MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor, Attending Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** United States

**Author's Country/Territory:** Australia

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-05-07

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-05-12 04:55

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-05-12 05:01

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Rare case-certainly of interest. I would recommend authors get the manuscript reviewed by a language editor. Writing style is very loquacious- needs to be more concise. A table with prior case series summarized would be helpful to the reader.

## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**Manuscript NO:** 55486

**Title:** Gastrointestinal obstruction secondary to enteral nutrition bezoar: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 03478404

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Romania

**Author's Country/Territory:** Australia

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-05-07

**Reviewer chosen by:** Le Zhang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-07-14 05:07

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-07-14 14:19

**Review time:** 9 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I am very pleased with the corrections performed by the authors of the manuscript titled

"Gastrointestinal obstruction secondary to enteral nutrition bezoar: A case report and literature review". All additions were done, changes were made and figures look excellent. Now, it appears very professional and clear. The authors were very wise to choose Filipodia, as the English language Editor, in my opinion being the best. I have only one question: please define D/C in Table 1 (under the table). Other than this very minor aspect, I strongly support the publication of the above mentioned manuscript.