

Round 1

Dear editor,

Thanks very much for your and the two experts' valuable comments. I think they are very helpful and revise the manuscript according to your requirements and Suggestions.

According to the comments of the reviewer #1, I made the following modifications:

1.The title of the article has been modified to emphasize that the article is a case rather than a study.

2.(P2L15-19) Has been deleted and I have describe the first sentence in the Inclusion criteria and the second sentence in the result section.

3.The description in Case Reports has been included in the result section.

4.(Figure 1) the endoscopic images have been edited as letters.

5.The order of patients was adjusted according to time, and the interval between three treatments was not one year.The interval between the first treatment and the second treatment is 1 month, because we consider that the wounds have basically recovered after 1 month.The interval between the second treatment and the third treatment is 9 months,because the patient's clinical symptoms were basically relieved after two treatments and he chose for clinical observation, but the symptoms of abdominal pain occurred again 9 month later, so we conducted the third endoscopic treatment.

6.The change of abdominal symptoms after first and second ESD in Patient 2 have been described,It is also mentioned in the table added in the results section.

7.Compared with other tumors, lipoma is relatively easy to be diagnosed, because of its typical endoscopic manifestations, which are pale yellow and smooth submucosal masses. Moreover, it is difficult to obtain tumor tissue by biopsy of submucosal masses, so we believe that biopsy is not necessary.According to the patient's medical history, typical endoscopic

manifestations and imaging findings, lipoma can be basically diagnosed.

According to the comments of reviewer #2, I made the following modifications:

1.The title of the article has been modified to emphasize that the article is a case rather than a study.

2.It has been mentioned in the inclusion criteria.Our purpose in writing this article is to show that ESD may be a new therapeutic approach.Because only 4 cases of submucosal lipoma were treated with ESD, we did not have much experience in the treatment of other tumors, so it is difficult to provide other accurate data. In the future, we may try to treat other submucosal tumors and accumulate more experience.

3.Limits have been described in exclusion criteria section.

4.I have made a table to show background of patients, procedure time, and complication in result section.

5.The clinical symptoms before ESD were described in case report section.If patients have no clinical symptoms and the likelihood of complications is low,We recommend he/she follow up regularly.

6.No stenosis occurred after ESD.Since endoscopic treatment did not destroy the small intestinal mucosa circumferentially, and the tumor surface covered mucosa was retained, postoperative small intestinal stricture was theoretically unlikely to occur.

7.Intraluminal lipoma with complications can theoretically be treated with ESD,and we only recommend some departments that have rich experience apply and promote this technology.

I have completed other requirements and language polishing according to the Editorial Office's requirements, I hope you will be satisfied with my modification.

Sincerely yours,

Hongyu Chen

Round 2

According to the comments of the reviewer #1, I made the following modifications:

The endoscopic image numbers and the description have been re-edited.