

Dear Sir or Madam,

Thank you very much for your review and the comments, which were very valuable to us for the revision. We have revised the text as you have advised. The guidelines were followed. The text was prepared according to the case report instructions and template and we hope it is satisfactory now. The issues raised by the reviewers have been discussed point-by-point below. We hope that the revisions are now satisfactory for the acceptance of the article.

Yours sincerely,

Tomaz Velnar

Answer to the Reviewers

Dear Sir or Madam,

Thank you for the manuscript review and the comments, which were very valuable for us. We have revised the text to our best knowledge. The changes in the text are marked in red colour. You will find the answers below.

Round 1

Reviewer 1

QUESTION 1: The paper is well written, with very few grammatical issues that can be easily addressed. I have only one concern that is terminological: gliosis is not the same thing as gliomatosis. Authors have some time used both terms as exchangeable, which might confuse readers. Are you talking about gliosis (in this specific case) or gliomatosis (which is, by far, much more infaust?).

ANSWER 1: Thank you for the review and these remarks. The grammatical issues have been addressed and the text has been proofread again.

We agree with the respected reviewer that these two terms are completely different. We apologise for the inconvenience, it was our mistake and our inconsistency during writing. The correct term was gliomatosis, as this was also seen in the histological specimen, taken from the tumour during the biopsy. In the text, this was corrected and the actual histological changes, that were provoked, supposingly by the bacteria, were those of the gliomatosis cerebri.

Round 2

QUESTION 2: Please upload the correct answer-to-reviewers letter. In the online submission system there is another letter, incomplete, not addressing any of our suggestions and even more, talking about another paper: An invasive myxopapillary ependymoma of the lumbar spine: a case report.

ANSWER 2: We have now uploaded the correct version of the Letter to Reviewers. Our sincere apologies for attaching a wrong letter. Please find attached the correct text. Thank you for your kind cooperation and our apologies again.