

The authors must resolve all issues in the manuscript based on peer-review report(s) and make a point-to point response to the issues raised in the peer-review report(s) which listed below:

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: The content is fine, but the writing requires extensive editing; it is full of errors, duplicated words, wrong word usage, confusing statements, etc. As an example of the latter, the authors continuously describe the tumor as "outside the anus," while it was in the rectum - to the reader - "outside the anus" implies outside the GI system. The authors write that "The tumor diagnosed the BSCC" - the tumor did not diagnose anything. The doctors diagnosed the tumor as a BSCC.

Ans) Thanks for your comment. We revise the manuscript in accordance with the reviewers' suggestions. To improve the language quality, we perform re-editing.