

## Comments to the Author

### Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: The discussion should be more flowing.

**→ We have rewritten parts of the discussion with the aim of improving its flow, as suggested.**

### *-Science Editor:*

Issues raised: (1) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;

**→ We have uploaded the original figure documents using PowerPoint.**

(2) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout

**→ We have revised the references by adding PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers.**

(3) The “Article Highlights” section is missing. Please add the “Article Highlights” section at the end of the main text.

**→ As requested, we have added an “Article Highlights” section at the end of the main text and highlighted with yellow color.**